



Vol. 3 No. 8 (August) (2025)

## Homomorphisms between Pythagorean Multi Anti-Fuzzy Rings

**Sadaqat Hussain (Corresponding Author)**

Department of Mathematics, University of Baltistan Skardu.

**Zaheer Abbas**

Department of Mathematics, University of Baltistan Skardu.

**Muhammmad Yonus**

Department of Mathematics, University of Baltistan Skardu.

**Shamshad Ali**

Department of Mathematics, University of Baltistan Skardu.

**Yahya Khan**

Department of Mathematics, University of Baltistan Skardu.

**Iftikhar Hussain**

Department of Mathematics, University of Baltistan Skardu.

### Abstract

One of the important extensions of both the fuzzy set as well as the intuitionistic fuzzy set is the Pythagorean fuzzy sets which provides a flexible framework to deal with uncertainty and ambiguity. Rings are extensively studied within the modern algebra which helps in dealing with some complex problems of modern science and technology. In this article we propose the homomorphism between Pythagorean multi anti fuzzy rings. We also discuss some of its characteristics and use this construction to further study the image, pre image and some other properties of the homomorphism defined within this framework.

**Keywords:** Homomorphisms, Pythagorean Multi Anti-Fuzzy Rings

### 1. Introduction

Since its introduction by Lotfi A. Zadeh in 1965 (Zadeh, 1965), fuzzy set theory has become a groundbreaking idea in mathematics and has found useful applications in a number of domains, such as pattern recognition, artificial intelligence, decision-making, and control systems. Fuzzy set theory's primary attraction is its capacity to deal with ambiguity and uncertainty, which traditional set theory finds difficult to manage. The idea of intuitionistic fuzzy sets (IFSs), first proposed by Atanassov in 1983 (Atanassov, 1986), expanded fuzzy set theory by adding two new parameters: the degree of membership and the degree of non-membership. By adding multi-dimensional membership functions. The IFS were further generalized by Yager by the construction of the Pythagorean Fuzzy Sets (PFSSs) (Yager, 2013). Sabu Sebastian developed multi-fuzzy set theory (Sabu, 2011), which expanded on these fundamental concepts and further generalized fuzzy sets. This development made it possible to model systems with several criteria or characteristics, which makes it especially applicable in fields like multi-criteria optimization and multi-objective decision-making. R. Muthuraj and S.



## Vol. 3 No. 8 (August) (2025)

By proposing fuzzy subgroups, Rosenfeld (Rosenfeld, 1971) expanded fuzzy set theory to algebraic structures. WJ Liu proposed the ring structure and its ideals under the fuzzy framework (Liu, 1982) which is further studied by well-known researchers in the contexts of multi fuzzy (Al-Husban, 2022), intuitionistic fuzzy (Marashdeh, 2001) and Pythagorean fuzzy settings (Razaq, 2023). Intuitionistic multi fuzzy near-rings and ideals associated with it were studied by Batool et. al. (Batool, 2023). Balamurugan (Muthuraj, 2013) developed the idea of multi-anti fuzzy subgroups, which integrate anti-membership functions into multi-fuzzy set theory, as an extension of these ideas. Recently the characterization of intuitionistic multi anti-fuzzy was done by Muthuraj (Muthuraj, 2022) in which the Cartesian product and homomorphisms were focused.

The work specifically focusses on defining and analyzing the basic features of the the homomorphism of between Pythagorean multi-anti fuzzy (PM $\check{A}$ F) rings. Moreover, we investigate the characteristics of this novel construction by defining kernel and image under homomorphism and anti-homomorphism, emphasizing the importance of these aspects in comprehending the structural connections among these fuzzy systems.

### 2. Preliminaries

This section is devoted to describe some of the pre-requisite notions which are used in this study and without having an acquaintance of these concepts it will be difficult to understand the text.

**Definition 2.0.1.** Suppose a non-empty set  $E$  then the fuzzy subset (FSS)  $M$  of  $E$  can be defined as

$$M = \{(\alpha, \check{U}(\alpha)) : \alpha \in E \text{ and } \check{U}(\alpha) : E \rightarrow [0, 1].\}$$

#### Example 2.0.2.

We can define a FSS  $N$  for the set  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  as

$$N = \{(1, .5), (2, .2), (3, .4), (4, .3), (5, .1)\}$$

If  $X = \{15, 25, 35, 45, 55\}$  shows ages then the FSSs "young" and "Adult" will be

$$Young = \{(15, .9)(25, .8)(35, .5)(45, 1)(55, 0)\}$$

$$Adult = \{(15, 0)(25, .5)(35, .8)(45, 1)(55, 1)\}$$

**Definition 2.0.3.** Suppose  $A$  is a set then the  $\check{I}$ FS described on  $A$  can be represented as

$$M = \{(\alpha, \check{U}(\alpha), \check{\Omega}(\alpha)) : \alpha \in M, \check{U}(\alpha) : A \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ and } \check{\Omega}(\alpha) : \rightarrow [0, 1]\} \text{ such that } 0 \leq \check{U}(\alpha) + \check{\Omega}(\alpha) \leq 1.$$

**Definition 2.0.4.** The Pythagorean fuzzy set  $M$  constructed on the discourse set  $A$  can be represented as  $M = \{(\alpha, \check{U}(\alpha), \check{\Omega}(\alpha)) : \alpha \in M, \check{U}(\alpha) : A \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ and } \check{\Omega}(\alpha) : \rightarrow [0, 1]\}$

Such that  $0 \leq \check{U}_1^2(\alpha) + \check{\Omega}_1^2(\alpha) \leq 1$

**Example 2.0.5** For the ground set  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$  the set below describes the PFSs

$$M = \{(1, 0.2, 0.9), (2, 0.3, 0.4), (3, 0.8, 0.2)\}$$

**Definition 2.0.6.** Suppose a non- empty set  $X$ . A multi-fuzzy set  $M$  is defined as

$$M = \{(\alpha, \check{U}_1(\alpha), \check{U}_2(\alpha), \check{U}_3(\alpha), \check{U}_4(\alpha), \dots) : \alpha \in X\},$$

where,  $\check{U}_i(\alpha) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  for all  $i$ . For instance, set written below is multi fuzzy

$$M = \{(a, \{.1, .2, .4\}), (b, \{.3, .4, .001\}), (c, \{.2, .1, .25\})\}$$

**Definition 2.0.7.** An Intuitionistic multi-fuzzy set  $M$  is defined as



Vol. 3 No. 8 (August) (2025)

$$M = \{(\alpha, U_1(\alpha), U_2(\alpha), U_3(\alpha), \dots, U_k; \Omega_1(\alpha), \Omega_2(\alpha), \Omega_3(\alpha), \dots, \Omega_k(\alpha)) : \alpha \in M\}$$

where,  $U_k(\alpha) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  for all  $k$  and  $\Omega_k(\alpha) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ .

**Definition 2.0.8.** Take  $X$  to be the set of discourse then one can describe the Pythagorean multi fuzzy set

$$P_M^F = \{(\alpha, C\Omega(\alpha), C\Upsilon(\alpha)) / \alpha \in X\}$$

is described by two maps  $C\Omega(\alpha): X \rightarrow Q$  and  $C\Upsilon(\alpha): X \rightarrow Q$ , labeling as functions of count membership and count non-membership, where the set  $Q$  exhibits family of all possible crisp multi-sets produced from the interval  $[0,1]$  and for each  $\alpha \in X$ ,  $C\Omega(\alpha)$  will be a decreasingly ordered progression such as  $(U_1(\alpha))^2 \geq (U_2(\alpha))^2 \geq (U_3(\alpha))^2 \geq \dots \geq (U_k(\alpha))^2$  and  $C\Upsilon(\alpha)$  could be of any order  $(\Omega_1(\alpha))^2, (\Omega_2(\alpha))^2, (\Omega_3(\alpha))^2, \dots, (\Omega_k(\alpha))^2$ . For each  $\alpha \in X, 0 \leq (C\Omega(\alpha))^2 + (C\Upsilon(\alpha))^2 \leq 1$ .

**Example 2.0.9.** Let  $M = \{\sigma, \rho, \eta\}$  then  $\mathcal{A}$  is intuitionistic fuzzy multi-set over  $\mathcal{N}$  with count functions:

$$C\Omega(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 0.3, 0.3, 0.5 & \text{if } \alpha = \sigma \\ 0.02, 0.02, 0.02 & \text{if } \alpha = \rho \\ 1, 1, 0.6 & \text{if } \alpha = \eta \end{cases}$$

$$C\Upsilon(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 0.5, 0.5, 0.3 & \text{if } \alpha = \sigma \\ 0.8, 0.8, 0.8 & \text{if } \alpha = \rho \\ 0.4 & \text{if } \alpha = \eta \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.0.10.** Given two Pythagorean fuzzy multi-sets  $A$  and  $B$  over  $X$  with maps  $C\Omega_A(\alpha)$  and  $C\Omega_B(\alpha)$  labeling as functions of count membership of  $A$  and  $B$  and  $C\Upsilon_A(\alpha)$  and  $C\Upsilon_B(\alpha)$  showcases count non-membership, then:

- i.  $A \subseteq B$  if  $(C\Omega_A(\alpha))^2 \leq (C\Omega_B(\alpha))^2$  and  $(C\Upsilon_A(\alpha))^2 \leq (C\Upsilon_B(\alpha))^2 \forall \alpha \in X$
- ii.  $A = B$  if  $(C\Omega_A(\alpha))^2 = (C\Omega_B(\alpha))^2$  and  $(C\Upsilon_A(\alpha))^2 = (C\Upsilon_B(\alpha))^2 \forall \alpha \in X$ .
- iii.  $C\Omega_{A \cap B}(\alpha) = \min \{ (C\Omega_A(\alpha))^2, (C\Omega_B(\alpha))^2 \}$  and  $C\Upsilon_{A \cap B}(\alpha) = \max \{ (C\Upsilon_A(\alpha))^2, (C\Upsilon_B(\alpha))^2 \}$ .
- iv.  $C\Omega_{A \cup B}(\alpha) = \max \{ (C\Omega_A(\alpha))^2, (C\Omega_B(\alpha))^2 \}$  and  $C\Upsilon_{A \cup B}(\alpha) = \min \{ (C\Upsilon_A(\alpha))^2, (C\Upsilon_B(\alpha))^2 \}$ .
- v. Complement of an intuitionistic fuzzy multi-set is defined as;

$$A^c = \{ \langle \alpha, (C\Upsilon_A(\alpha))^2, (C\Omega_A(\alpha))^2 \rangle / \alpha \in X \}$$

**Definition 2.0.11.** Let  $M$  be a fuzzy set on a ring  $\check{R}$ . Then  $M$  is a fuzzy subring of  $\check{G}$  if and only if

- i.  $U_M(\alpha - \beta) \geq \min \{ U_M(\alpha), U_M(\beta) \}$
- ii.  $U_M(\alpha \cdot \beta) \geq \min \{ U_M(\alpha), U_M(\beta) \}$

**Definition 2.0.12.** Suppose a ring  $\check{R}$ , and  $M = \{(\alpha, \Omega_M(\alpha), U_N(\alpha)) | \alpha \in \check{R}\}$  be Pythagorean fuzzy ring over  $\check{R}$ , if the given conditions are holds,

- i.  $(\Omega_M(\alpha - \beta))^2 \geq \min \{ (\Omega_M(\alpha))^2, (\Omega_M(\beta))^2 \}$
- ii.  $(\Omega_M(\alpha \beta))^2 \geq \min \{ (\Omega_M(\alpha))^2, (\Omega_M(\beta))^2 \}$



Vol. 3 No. 8 (August) (2025)

- iii.  $(U_N(\alpha - \beta))^2 \leq \max\{(U_N(\alpha))^2, (U_N(\beta))^2\}$
- iv.  $(U_N(\alpha\beta))^2 \leq \max\{(U_N(\alpha))^2, (U_N(\beta))^2\}, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \check{R}$

**Definition 2.0.13.** Suppose a ring  $\check{R}$ , and  $M = \{(\alpha, \Omega_M(\alpha), U_N(\alpha)) | \alpha \in \check{R}\}$  be Pythagorean fuzzy ring over  $\check{R}$ , if the given conditions are holds,

- i.  $(\Omega_M(\alpha - \beta))^2 \leq \max\{(\Omega_M(\alpha))^2, (\Omega_M(\beta))^2\}$
- ii.  $(\Omega_M(\alpha\beta))^2 \leq \max\{(\Omega_M(\alpha))^2, (\Omega_M(\beta))^2\}$
- iii.  $(U_N(\alpha - \beta))^2 \geq \min\{(U_N(\alpha))^2, (U_N(\beta))^2\}$
- iv.  $(U_N(\alpha\beta))^2 \geq \min\{(U_N(\alpha))^2, (U_N(\beta))^2\}, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \check{R}$

**3. Main Results**

Here we discussed about the properties of homomorphic and anti-homomorphic, anti-image and ant-pre-image of PM $\check{A}$ F subring of a ring  $\check{R}$ .

**Definition 3.1.1**

Suppose  $\check{R}_1$  and  $\check{R}_2$  be rings. Suppose  $G = \{(\alpha, U_M(\alpha), U_N(\alpha)) / \alpha \in \check{R}_1\}$  and  $\check{H} = \{(\beta, U_O(\beta), U_P(\beta)) / \beta \in \check{R}_2\}$  are  $\check{I}\check{M}\check{F}$  subsets on a ring  $\check{R}_1$  and  $\check{R}_2$  correspondingly. Suppose a mapping  $f: \check{R}_1 \rightarrow \check{R}_2$  then  $f(G) = \{(\beta, f(U_M(\beta)), f(U_N(\beta))) / \beta \in \check{R}_2\}$  denoted as anti-image of  $G$ , then  $f(G)$  is an  $\check{I}\check{M}\check{F}$  subset of  $\check{R}_2, \forall \beta \in \check{R}_2$ .

$$f(U_M(\beta)) = \begin{cases} \inf\{U_M^2(\alpha) : \alpha \in f^{-1}(\beta)\}, & \text{if } f^{-1}(\beta) \neq \emptyset \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$f(U_N(\beta)) = \begin{cases} \sup\{U_N^2(\alpha) : \alpha \in f^{-1}(\beta)\}, & \text{if } \alpha \in f^{-1}(\beta) \neq \emptyset \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$f^{-1}(\check{H}) = \{(f^{-1}(\alpha), f^{-1}U_O(\alpha), f^{-1}U_P(\alpha)) / \alpha \in \check{R}_1\}$  is an anti-pre-image of  $\check{H}$  under  $f$  and also an  $\check{I}\check{M}\check{F}$  subset of  $\check{R}_1, \forall \alpha \in \check{R}_1, f^{-1}(U^2_O(\alpha)) = U^2_O(f(\alpha))$  and  $f^{-1}(U^2_P(\alpha)) = U^2_P(f(\alpha))$ .

**Theorem 3.1.2:**

Suppose  $\check{R}_1$  and  $\check{R}_2$  be two rings. Suppose  $f: \check{R}_1 \rightarrow \check{R}_2$  is a homomorphism onto rings. Suppose  $G = \{(\alpha, U_M(\alpha), U_N(\alpha)) / \alpha \in \check{R}_1\}$  be an  $\check{I}\check{M}\check{A}\check{F}$  subring of  $\check{R}_1$  then  $f(G)$  is an  $\check{I}\check{M}\check{A}\check{F}$  subring of  $\check{R}_2$ , if  $G$  has an inf property and  $G$  is  $f$ -invariant.

**Proof:**

$\{(\alpha, U_M(\alpha), U_N(\alpha)) / \alpha \in \check{R}_1\}$  be an  $\check{I}\check{M}\check{A}\check{F}$  subring of  $\check{R}_1$ .  
 $f(U^2_M(\beta)), f(U^2_N(\beta)) / \beta \in \check{R}_2\}$ .

Suppose  $G =$   
 Then,  $f(G) = \{\beta,$

There exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \check{R}_1$  such that  $f(\alpha), f(\beta) \in \check{R}_2$ ,

i.

$$= U^2_M(\alpha - \beta)$$

$$\leq \max\{U^2_M(\alpha), U^2_M(\beta)\}$$

$$= \max\{(f(U^2_M))f(\alpha), (f(U^2_M))f(\beta)\}$$

$$(f(U^2_M))f(\alpha) - f(\beta) \leq \max\{(f(U^2_M))f(\alpha), (f(U^2_M))f(\beta)\}.$$

ii.

$$= U^2_M(\alpha\beta)$$

$$\leq \max\{U^2_M(\alpha), U^2_M(\beta)\}$$

$$= \max\{(f(U^2_M))f(\alpha), (f(U^2_M))f(\beta)\}$$



Vol. 3 No. 8 (August) (2025)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (f(U^2_M))f(\alpha)f(\beta) \leq \max\{(f(U^2_M))f(\alpha), (f(U^2_M))f(\beta)\}. \\
 \text{iii. } & (f(U^2_N))f(\alpha) - f(\beta) = (f(U^2_N))f(\alpha - \beta), \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad = U^2_N(\alpha - \beta) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \geq \min\{U^2_N(\alpha), U^2_N(\beta)\} \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad = \min\{(f(U^2_N))f(\alpha), (f(U^2_N))f(\beta)\} \\
 & (f(U^2_N))f(\alpha) - f(\beta) \geq \min\{(f(U^2_N))f(\alpha), (f(U^2_N))f(\beta)\}. \\
 \text{iv. } & (f(U^2_N))f(\alpha)f(\beta) = (f(U^2_N))f(\alpha\beta), \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad = U^2_N(\alpha\beta) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \geq \min\{U^2_N(\alpha), U^2_N(\beta)\} \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad = \min\{(f(U^2_N))f(\alpha), (f(U^2_N))f(\beta)\} \\
 & (f(U^2_N))f(\alpha)f(\beta) \geq \min\{(f(U^2_N))f(\alpha), (f(U^2_N))f(\beta)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f(G)$  is an PMĀF subring of  $\check{R}_2$ .

**Theorem 3.1.3.**

Suppose  $\check{R}_1$  and  $\check{R}_2$  be two rings. Suppose  $f: \check{R}_1 \rightarrow \check{R}_2$  is a homomorphism onto rings. Suppose  $\check{H} = \{(\beta, U_o(\beta), U_p(\beta))/\beta \in \check{R}_2\}$  be an PMĀF subring of  $\check{R}_2$  then  $f^{-1}(\check{H})$  is an PMĀF subring of  $\check{R}_1$ .

**Proof**

$\check{H} = \{(\beta, U_o(\beta), U_p(\beta))/\beta \in \check{R}_2\}$  be an ĪMĀF subring of  $\check{R}_2$ .

Then,  $f^{-1}(\check{H}) = \{(\alpha, f^{-1} U^2_o(\alpha), f^{-1} U^2_p(\alpha))/\alpha \in \check{R}_1\}$ .

For any  $\alpha, \beta \in \check{R}_1, f(\alpha), f(\beta) \in \check{R}_2,$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)} \quad & f^{-1}(U^2_o)(\alpha - \beta) = U^2_o(f(\alpha - \beta)) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad = U^2_o(f(\alpha), f(\beta)) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \leq \min\{(U^2_o(f(\alpha)), U^2_o(f(\beta)))\} \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad = \min\{(f^{-1}(U^2_o))(\alpha), (f^{-1}(U^2_o))(\beta)\} \\
 & (f^{-1}(U^2_o))(\alpha - \beta) \leq \min\{(f^{-1}(U^2_o))(\alpha), (f^{-1}(U^2_o))(\beta)\}. \\
 \text{(ii)} \quad & (f^{-1}(U^2_o))(\alpha\beta) = U^2_o(f(\alpha\beta)) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad = U^2_o(f(\alpha), f(\beta)) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \leq \min\{(U^2_o(f(\alpha)), U^2_o(f(\beta)))\} \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad = \min\{(f^{-1}(U^2_o))(\alpha), (f^{-1}(U^2_o))(\beta)\} \\
 & (f^{-1}(U^2_o))(\alpha\beta) \leq \min\{(f^{-1}(U^2_o))(\alpha), (f^{-1}(U^2_o))(\beta)\}. \\
 \text{(iii)} \quad & f^{-1}(U^2_p)(\alpha - \beta) = U^2_p(f(\alpha - \beta)) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad = U^2_p(f(\alpha), f(\beta)) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \leq \max\{(U^2_p(f(\alpha)), U^2_p(f(\beta)))\} \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad = \max\{(f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\alpha), (f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\beta)\} \\
 & (f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\alpha - \beta) \leq \max\{(f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\alpha), (f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\beta)\}. \\
 \text{(iv)} \quad & (f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\alpha\beta) = U^2_p(f(\alpha\beta)) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad = U^2_p(f(\alpha - \beta)) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad = U^2_p(f(\alpha), f(\beta)) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \leq \max\{(U^2_p(f(\alpha)), U^2_p(f(\beta)))\} \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad = \max\{(f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\alpha), (f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\beta)\} \\
 & (f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\alpha\beta) \leq \max\{(f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\alpha), (f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\beta)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f^{-1}(\check{H})$  is an PMĀF subring of  $\check{R}_1$ .

**Theorem 3.1.4.**

Suppose



Vol. 3 No. 8 (August) (2025)

$\check{R}_1$  and  $\check{R}_2$  be two rings. Suppose  $f: \check{R}_1 \rightarrow \check{R}_2$  is an anti-homomorphism onto rings. Suppose  $G = \{(\alpha, U_M(\alpha), U_N(\alpha))/\alpha \in \check{R}_1\}$  be an PMĀF subring of  $\check{R}_1$  then  $f(G)$  is an ĪMĀF subring of  $\check{R}_2$ , if  $G$  has an inf property and  $G$  is  $f$ -invariant.

**Proof:**

$\{(\alpha, U_M(\alpha), U_N(\alpha))/\alpha \in \check{R}_1\}$  be an ĪMĀF subring of  $\check{R}_1$ .  
 $\{(\beta, f(U^2_M(\beta)), f(U^2_N(\beta)))/\beta \in \check{R}_2\}$ .  
 exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \check{R}_1$  such that  $f(\alpha), f(\beta) \in \check{R}_2$ ,

Suppose  $G =$   
 Then,  $f(G) =$   
 There

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)} \quad & (f(U^2_M))(f(\alpha) - f(\beta)) = (f(U^2_M))(f(\beta - \alpha)) \\
 & = U^2_M(\beta - \alpha) \\
 & = U^2_M(\alpha - \beta) \\
 & \leq \max\{U^2_M(\alpha), U^2_M(\beta)\} \\
 & = \max\{(f(U^2_M))(f(\alpha)), (f(U^2_M))(f(\beta))\} \\
 & (f(U^2_M))(f(\alpha) - f(\beta)) \leq \max\{(f(U^2_M))(f(\alpha)), (f(U^2_M))(f(\beta))\}. \\
 \text{(ii)} \quad & (f(U^2_M))(f(\alpha) f(\beta)) = (f(U^2_M))(f(\beta\alpha)) \\
 & = U^2_M(\beta\alpha) \\
 & \leq \max\{U^2_M(\alpha), U^2_M(\beta)\} \\
 & = \max\{(f(U^2_M))(f(\alpha)), (f(U^2_M))(f(\beta))\} \\
 & (f(U^2_M))f(\alpha)f(\beta) \leq \max\{(f(U^2_M))(f(\alpha)), (f(U^2_M))(f(\beta))\}. \\
 \text{(iii)} \quad & (f(U^2_N))(f(\alpha) - f(\beta)) = (f(U^2_N))(f(\beta - \alpha)) \\
 & = U^2_N(\beta - \alpha) \\
 & \geq \min\{U^2_N(\alpha), U^2_N(\beta)\} \\
 & = \min\{(f(U^2_N))(f(\alpha)), (f(U^2_N))(f(\beta))\} \\
 & (f(U^2_N))(f(\alpha) - f(\beta)) \geq \min\{(f(U^2_N))(f(\alpha)), (f(U^2_N))(f(\beta))\}. \\
 \text{(iv)} \quad & (f(U^2_N))(f(\alpha) f(\beta)) = (f(U^2_N))(f(\beta\alpha)) \\
 & = U^2_N(\beta\alpha) \\
 & \geq \min\{U^2_N(\alpha), U^2_N(\beta)\} \\
 & = \min\{(f(U^2_N))(f(\alpha)), (f(U^2_N))(f(\beta))\} \\
 & (f(U^2_N))f(\alpha)f(\beta) \geq \min\{(f(U^2_N))(f(\alpha)), (f(U^2_N))(f(\beta))\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f(G)$  is an PMĀF subring of  $\check{R}_2$ .

**Theorem 3.1.5.**

Suppose  $\check{R}_1$  and  $\check{R}_2$  be two rings. Suppose  $f: \check{R}_1 \rightarrow \check{R}_2$  is an anti-homomorphism onto rings.

Suppose  $\check{H} = \{(\beta, U_O(\beta), U_P(\beta))/\beta \in \check{R}_2\}$  be an PMĀF subring of  $\check{R}_2$  then  $f^{-1}(\check{H})$  is an PMĀF subring of  $\check{R}_1$ .

**Proof**

$\check{H} = \{(\beta, U_O(\beta), U_P(\beta))/\beta \in \check{R}_2\}$  be an ĪMĀF subring of  $\check{R}_2$ .

Then,  $f^{-1}(\check{H}) = \{(\alpha, f^{-1}(U^2_O(\alpha)), f^{-1}(U^2_P(\alpha)))/\alpha \in \check{R}_1\}$ .

For any  $\alpha, \beta \in \check{R}_1$ ,  $f(\alpha), f(\beta) \in \check{R}_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)} \quad & f^{-1}(U^2_O)(\alpha - \beta) = U^2_O(f(\alpha - \beta)) \\
 & = U^2_O(f(\beta), f(\alpha)) \\
 & = U^2_O(f(\alpha), f(\beta)) \\
 & \leq \max\{(U^2_O)(f(\alpha)), (U^2_O)(f(\beta))\} \\
 & = \max\{(f^{-1}(U^2_O))(\alpha), (f^{-1}(U^2_O))(\beta)\} \\
 & (f^{-1}(U^2_O))(\alpha - \beta) \leq \max\{(f^{-1}(U^2_O))(\alpha), (f^{-1}(U^2_O))(\beta)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$



Vol. 3 No. 8 (August) (2025)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(ii)} \quad & (f^{-1}(U^2_o))(\alpha\beta) = U^2_o(f(\alpha\beta)) \\
 & = U^2_o(f(\beta), f(\alpha)) \\
 & \leq \min\{U^2_o(f(\alpha)), U^2_o(f(\beta))\} \\
 & = \min\{(f^{-1}(U^2_o))(\alpha), (f^{-1}(U^2_o))(\beta)\} \\
 & (f^{-1}(U^2_o))(\alpha\beta) \leq \min\{(f^{-1}(U^2_o))(\alpha), (f^{-1}(U^2_o))(\beta)\}. \\
 \text{(iii)} \quad & f^{-1}(U^2_p)(\alpha - \beta) = U^2_p(f(\alpha - \beta)) \\
 & = U^2_p(f(\beta) - f(\alpha)) \\
 & = U^2_p(f(\alpha) - f(\beta)) \\
 & \geq \min\{U^2_p(f(\alpha)), U^2_p(f(\beta))\} \\
 & = \min\{(f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\alpha), (f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\beta)\} \\
 & (f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\alpha - \beta) \geq \min\{(f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\alpha), (f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\beta)\}. \\
 \text{(iv)} \quad & (f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\alpha\beta) = U^2_p(f(\alpha\beta)) \\
 & = U^2_p(f(\alpha), f(\beta)) \\
 & \geq \max\{U^2_p(f(\alpha)), U^2_p(f(\beta))\} \\
 & = \max\{(f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\alpha), (f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\beta)\} \\
 & (f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\alpha\beta) \geq \max\{(f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\alpha), (f^{-1}(U^2_p))(\beta)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f^{-1}(\check{H})$  is an  $\check{I}M\check{A}F$  subring of  $\check{R}_1$ .

**Conclusion**

This study exhibits the generalization of the framework of intuitionistic multi-anti fuzzy rings by proposing a significant and innovative extension of the notion homomorphism under Pythagorean multi anti fuzzy rings. As one of the basic idea, the homomorphism offers a mathematical foundation for systematically comparison of many Pythagorean multi-anti fuzzy rings. The formal definition of homomorphism under PMAFRs is given and using the extension principle the images and pre images are defined. It is observed that these constructions satisfy many useful characteristics which are listed and the detailed proofs are given.

References

Al-Husban, A. A.-Q. (2022). Multi-fuzzy rings. *WSEAS Trans. Math*, 701-706.

Altassan, A. M. (2021). On Fundamental Theorems of Fuzzy Isomorphism of Fuzzy Subrings over a Certain Algebraic Product. *Symmetry*, 998.

Anippan Pa. N., K. A. (2006). The homomorphism and anti homomorphism of fuzzy and anti fuzzy ideals. *Varahmihir J. Math. Sci.*, 811-188.

Atanassov, K. T. (1986). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy Sets Systems*, 87-96.

Batool N., H. S. (2023). Decision making under incomplete data: intuitionistic multi fuzzy ideals of near-ring approach. *Decision Making: Applications in Management and Engineering*.

Batool, N. H. (2023). Decision making under incomplete data: intuitionistic multi fuzzy ideals of near-ring approach. *Decision Making: Applications in Management and Engineering*.

Biswas, R. (1990). Fuzzy subgroups and anti fuzzy subgroups. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 121-124.

Liu, W.-J. (1982). Fuzzy invariant subgroups and fuzzy ideals. *Fuzzy Set and Systems*, 133-139.

Malik, D. &. (1992). Fuzzy homomorphisms of rings. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 139-146.



## Vol. 3 No. 8 (August) (2025)

- Marashdeh, M. F. (2001). Intuitionistic fuzzy rings. *Int. J. Algebra*, 37-47.
- Muthuraj R., Y. S. (2022). Properties of Intuitionistic Multi-Anti Fuzzy Rings. *Advances and Applications in Mathematical Sciences*, 2095-2111.
- Muthuraj, R. . (2013). Multi-fuzzy group and its level subgroups. *Gen. Math. Notes*, 74-81.
- Razaq A., A. G. (2023). On Pythagorean fuzzy ideals of a classical ring. *AIMS Mathematics*, 4280-4303.
- Razaq, A. A. (2023). On Pythagorean fuzzy ideals of a classical ring. *AIMS Mathematics*, 4280-4303.
- Rosenfeld, A. (1971). Fuzzy Groups. *Math. Anal. Appl.*, 512-517.
- Sabu S., R. T. (2011). Multi-fuzzy topology. *International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 117-129.
- Sabu, S. R. (2011). Multi-fuzzy topology. *International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 117-129.
- Sahar Abbas., Z. H. (2021). Intuitionistic Fuzzy Entropy and its Applications to Multicriteria Decision Making with IF-TODIM. *Journal of Mechanics of Continua and Mathematical Sciences*, 99-119.
- Yager, R. (2013). Pythagorean fuzzy subsets. *Proceedings of the 2013 Joint IFSA World Congress and NAFIPS Annual Meeting*, (pp. 57-61). Canada.
- Yager, R. R. (1986). On the theory of bags. *International Journal of General Systems*, 23-37.
- Yan, L.-M. (2008). Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ring and its Homomorphism Image. *international Seminar on Future BioMedical information Engineering*, 75-77.
- Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy Sets. *Inform. and Control*, 338-353.