



Vol. 4 No. 1 (January) (2026)

Friedrich Nietzsche on Education: Mass Instruction versus Individual Freedom; A Critical Review

Khadija Muhammad Hussain Marvi*

PhD. Student, Department of Education, Fatima Jinnah Women University, Rawalpindi, ORCID; <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4200-1776>, fa25p1-edu-002@fjwu.edu.pk Email: kmarvi85@gmail.com

Malik Ghulam Behlol

Dean of the Department/Professor, Fatima Jinnah Women University, Rawalpindi, Pakistan; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0486-7029>, ghulambehlol@fjwu.edu.pk

ABSTRACT

The Friedrich explores how educational institutions serve as instruments of the state and society, preparing people for predetermined roles rather than promoting true self-improvement. The themes explain that education should nourish higher values, encourage creative greatness, and produce exceptional people who can make significant contributions to culture and human advancement rather than serving social convenience or economic necessities. Moreover, education still faces challenges, including business development, repetition, and a lack of critical thought, via intense self-discipline, hardship, and respect for higher intellectual principles. Friedrich's philosophical position that education cannot be simplified to quantifiable results or formalities is consistent with this decision. However, readers looking for hard data or real-world applications may find the book disappointing due to its lack of factual foundation. Further, he makes readers pause, consider, and struggle with difficult concepts by rejecting academic standards. The framework itself turns into a critique of educational systems that priorities productivity, efficiency, and clarity over analysis and insight. It is clear from analyzing the book's structure and organization that Friedrich places more emphasis on philosophical engagement than connectivity. Preconceived concepts about learning, in my opinion, always help in integrating new information. Therefore, we should think that knowledge may be modified by comparing new and old information.

Keywords: Mass-Education, Individualism, Directive-Freedom, Pseudo-Culture.

Introduction

This paper is based on Friedrich's (2016) Anti-Education book philosophical critique of contemporary educational establishments and their influence on human culture and thought. Originally titled "On the Future of Our Educational Institutions," it was written as a series of lectures. Friedrich's strong dislike of formal education systems that value efficiency, utility, and conformity over originality, creativity, and intellectual depth is reflected in the book (Albulene & Arif, 2016). Friedrich, one of the most important philosophers of the nineteenth century, questions the widely held notion that learning inevitably results in enlightenment and advancement. Rather, he believes that rather than creating creative and brave thinkers, modern schooling frequently damages the human spirit by creating weak, unquestioning people (Smith & Colette, 2013). He says mass education fosters superficial information rather than genuine cultural and philosophical understanding and leads to decreasing intellectual standards. Anti-Education is



Vol. 4 No. 1 (January) (2026)

significant because it questions the direction and goal of education in a fundamental way. Friedrich's theories raise doubt on conventional wisdom on academic authority, discipline, and curriculum uniformity (Charles, 2022). Despite being published in a historical setting, the book is nevertheless relevant today since modern educational systems.

The gap of this chapter, Nietzsche vehemently opposes industrial and practical education, seeing it as a system intended just to create economically useful people rather than well-developed human beings (Thomas & Joel, 2021). He does not, however, provide a well-rounded framework that effectively combines humanistic principles with commercial instruction (Mansour et al, 2025). His strong disagreement creates a conceptual divide where financial proficiency and social and cultural development are seen as mutually antagonistic rather than complementary (Csorba, 2020). There is currently a gap: Learning systems are expected to integrate humanistic abilities (ethics, innovative thinking, compassion, social responsibility) with commercial skills (financial literacy, innovation, entrepreneurship) in the twenty-first century (Tiyara, Aiman, & Arief, 2025). Nietzsche judges commercialization but fails to address how learners might achieve ethical commercial intelligence a fundamental prerequisite in emerging, technology-driven economies (Anil & Dominik, 2023). Although Nietzsche's *Anti-Education* provides a potent critique of business-oriented education (pp. 8-11), it falls short in that it does not present a model that reconciles humanistic principles with economic competencies a synthesis that is essential to developing 21st-century skills (Canadas, Jacome, & Granero, 2025).

The significance of his book is to critically analyze *Anti-Education* by looking at its main points, analyzing Friedrich's claims, and determining the advantages and disadvantages of his criticism in light of contemporary teaching methods. Additionally, Brad (2025) examines the crucial and forceful constructs of traditional educational institutions as kinds of onto-epistemic violence in order to critique their inherent violence.

“Through expanding on past knowledge and directing students toward growth-oriented thinking, education plays a critical role in forming people and society. The basis for specialization, unity in society, and national growth is basic mass education. Societies can develop innovative thinkers capable of tackling contemporary issues through a well-designed curriculum that strikes a balance between discipline, philosophical knowledge, and directed freedom.”

Friedrich's five public lectures from 1872, originally titled *On the Future of Our Educational Institutions*, served as the foundation for *Anti-Education*. Friedrich offers a compelling critique of the German educational system of his day in this essay, concentrating especially on universities and secondary schools' gymnasiums (Till, 2021). He claims that modern learning has become a tool for creating productive, accepting members of society rather than its original goal of promoting culture, character, and intellectual knowledge (Kurbonov, 2021). Friedrich starts by stating that he believes there is a crisis in education. He believes that two adverse trends, the overreach of education to the general public and the growing specialization of knowledge, are what motivate educational establishments (Maya, 2024). Increasing access to education comes along with its weakening, which leads to shallow learning rather than profound intellectual development (Alessio & Giorgio, 2019). Friedrich claims that this combination causes true culture (Building) to decrease and be replaced by what he refers to as pseudo-culture, or information that is artificial, confused, and separate from reality (Hiromasa, Shunsuke, Akihiro, & Takuya, 2021).

The primary focus of the book is Friedrich's critique of government involvement in



Vol. 4 No. 1 (January) (2026)

education. He feels that education has become a tool of political and commercial interests, creating professionals, workers, and administrators instead of free thinkers (Jon & Quentin, 2020). He claims that colleges are institutions that prioritize academic success and consistency over creativity and intelligence (Sheena, 2025). He is especially opposed of the concept of "academic freedom," which he sees as artificial since both teachers and students ultimately work inside state-regulated frameworks (Dimitrios, Dimitrios, Konstantinos, Yannis, & Christos, 2024). Additionally, Friedrich criticizes the specialization culture that fills higher education (Vladimir, 2024). He thinks that an overemphasis on specialized disciplines of study disturbs human understanding and hinders the formation of a solid, significant worldview. Friedrich claimed that academics lose sight of more general philosophical and cultural issues as they become experts in little specifics. He argues that this specialization affects a person's moral and intellectual growth (Kondratiev et al., 2020).

The book's use of dialogue and narrative elements is a noteworthy organizational characteristic. Friedrich (2016) uses dialogue between invented characters, such as a philosopher, students, and friends, to frame many of his concepts. He may examine several viewpoints while preserving philosophical depth thanks to this narrative method. Friedrich's philosophical goals and opposition to traditional academic forms are reflected in the organization and structure of *Anti-Education*. Friedrich's focus on authority and discipline in real education is another significant issue in the book.

"As a reflection of Friedrich's conviction that administrative reforms alone cannot bring about true cultural regeneration, the book ends without proposing a specific reform strategy. Rather, he advocates for an entirely new reconsideration of educational principles, prioritizing culture over information, complexity over quantity, and character over utility."

The Future of Our Educational Institutions

"Friedrich's compelling and unique critique regarding modern educational systems is one of *Anti-Education's* greatest assets. Instead of viewing education as an unquestionable societal good, Friedrich questions its underlying presumptions and reveals the ways in which educational institutions may suppress individuality, creativity, and cultural richness. This critical approach sets the work apart from traditional instructional literature and offers it long philosophical significance."

He looks at education as a moral and cultural force that molds people's goals, values, and character. Friedrich advances the conversation beyond changes in institutions to a basic investigation into the goal of learning itself by tying education to more general concerns like culture, power, and individuality (Peter, 2017). Then, his emphasis on uniqueness and quality is another noteworthy strength (Orhan, 2025). He asks readers to reevaluate whether the goal of education should be to produce satisfactory outcomes or to foster excellence, depth, and originality.

Friedrich makes a strong case that education loses its independence and cultural significance when it is used to further political and commercial goals (Seymour, 2018). His argument shows how educational institutions are used to produce skilled workers and obedient citizens rather than creative thinkers (Bast, Carayannis, & Campbell, 2015). Another significant strength of the essay is Friedrich's critique of academic specialization. He emphasizes how knowledge is fragmented and comprehensive knowledge is discouraged by an overemphasis on specialized fields (Victor & Michael, 2019). Friedrich presents a vision of education that prioritizes meaning over technical proficiency, advocating for a more connected and life-focused approach to knowledge.



Vol. 4 No. 1 (January) (2026)

The book's ongoing relevance is another asset. Many of Friedrich's complaints, including the commercialization of education, bureaucratic control, and shallow learning, are still relevant today even though they were expressed in the nineteenth century (Kenneth, 2024). Teachers, students, and philosophers who wonder if contemporary education actually encourages critical thinking and creativity continue to find significance in the book. Lastly, the moral significance of anti-education is great. Friedrich views education as a formative force that molds mankind and culture rather than as a technical procedure (Brindusa, 2019). All things considered, Anti-Education's assets include its uniqueness, philosophical clarity, and unwavering criticism of educational acceptance. Readers are prompted by Friedrich's writings to reconsider the purposes and principles of education as well as to acknowledge the significant effects of the ways in which nations decide to educate their citizens.

Themes and Ideas

Friedrich's critique regarding modern educational establishments and their inability to foster authentic culture is a major focus of Anti-Education (Building). He argues that education has shifted into a system that encourages acceptance, superficial knowledge, and deference to social and political authority rather than improving people intellectually and ethically. Friedrich challenges preconceived concepts about learning and intellectual growth by developing a number of connected seven themes through his analysis.

“Directive freedom: The idea behind directive freedom is that direction should empower rather than restrict, establishing boundaries and clear intent while allowing for decision-making, creativity, and ownership. It strikes a balance between autonomy and direction, allowing people or groups to make the best decisions in order to achieve common objectives. Directive freedom promotes accountability, flexibility, and creativity by emphasizing results rather than strict guidelines. This enables people to respond wisely to the complexity of the actual world while remaining purposeful.”

Significance of Friedrich's Themes: The issues of Anti-Education are still very relevant even if Friedrich's arguments are based on the educational environment of nineteenth-century Germany. Many of Friedrich's worries are heard in current discussions about standardized testing, commercialization of education, academic bureaucracy, and the loss of the humanities. His criticism challenges readers to reevaluate whether modern education actually promotes creativity and critical thinking or only equips people to operate effectively within preexisting structures.

Themes from Friedrich are insightful and challenging. Even if some of his ideas especially his exclusive perspective on education may seem excessive, they play a crucial role in highlighting the shortcomings of mass, utilitarian education. His emphasis on cultural seriousness, depth, and discipline pushes both teachers and students to reconsider the goal of education beyond social or economic utility.

“I believe that in order to improve society, we should govern and restructure our school curriculum and concentrate on themes like discipline against premature freedom.”

Theme 1: Mass Education: Friedrich's dislike to mass education is one of the main topics of Anti-Education. He argues that the degradation of intellectual standards is a result of expanding education to fit huge populations. According to him, education must simplify material and lessen rigor in order to accommodate everyone, which leads to shallow learning. He refers to this process as creating what he terms "pseudo-culture" people who have bits and pieces of knowledge but lack depth, discipline, and true comprehension. Because mass education emphasizes quantity over quality and uniformity over individuality, Friedrich believes that it is by design incompatible with perfection.



Vol. 4 No. 1 (January) (2026)

“Since not everyone is interested in long-term study, I believe that fundamental mass education is essential for all civilizations. Without it, no one can advance to specialty.”

Theme two: Individualism and the Cultivation of Genius: Since he believes that outstanding individuals are the real cultural bearers, Friedrich lays a great deal of stress on their growth. He opposes that true education is intended to develop exceptional skills and creative geniuses who can revitalize civilization rather than to benefit the typical person. This subject represents his view that individual geniuses are more important to the progression of culture than the group as a whole. He believed that education should foster the growth of such people, even if it means restricting or rejecting others. This concept, although contentious, highlights Friedrich's opposition to equality educational principles.

“In my view, relationships between people who live together in society and have similar thoughts are essential, as are a few professionals in specific fields who have similar mindsets. However, we may change our outdated mindset into one that is growth-oriented, developing, and open to the new world through our educational curriculum.”

Theme three: Education as a Servant of the State: The book's submission of education to state goals is another major issue. Friedrich argues that rather than serving as places for intellectual freedom, schools and universities serve as tools of economic and political power. He states that the state promotes education because it produces effective employees, administrators, and devoted citizens. As a result, education turns utilitarian, emphasizing credentials and skills over knowledge and cultural development. Friedrich argues that education loses its ability to question authority and foster independent thought when it serves the state.

“In my view, it is a tangible reality that the state needs the assistance of civilians in order to carry out routine tasks and maintain the system. I believe it is a necessary cycle as very human beings lack remarkable thinking. The government pays them a salary in exchange for butter and jam. However, curricula should be changed to foster innovative thinking in order to address societal issues.”

Theme four: Academic Specialization: The growing specialization of knowledge at universities is something that Friedrich firmly opposes. He contends that an overemphasis on specialized academic fields splits human comprehension and keeps students from forming an all-encompassing perspective on life and society. He contends that academics lose sight of more significant philosophical and ethical issues when they get involved in technical specifics. According to Friedrich, this specialization hinders the whole growth that true education supposed to offer and limits learning to mechanical productivity. His criticism suggests existing worries about the loss of multidisciplinary thought and over-specialization.

“In my view, the most crucial aspect of education is philosophical knowledge and comprehension. Since we agree Friedrich's claims, philosophical concepts should be incorporated into academic curricula.”

Theme five: Discipline versus Premature Freedom: In contrast with existing educational theories that place a strong emphasis on early independence, Friedrich contends that order and compliance are necessary prerequisites for true learning. He contends that before students can achieve full intellectual independence, they must first submit to demanding instruction under outstanding professors. According to Friedrich, educational institutions that permit students to voice their ideas too early develop pride, superficiality, and intellectual sloth. Moreover he said, freedom is an accomplishment attained via hardship, self-control, and in-depth study of great concepts rather than a starting point.



Vol. 4 No. 1 (January) (2026)

“He was right, in my opinion, because freedom alone can lead to disastrous educational conceptions. Therefore, as educators, we should counsel students before granting them freedom of choice, as this will help them make the right decisions and work in the right path.”

Theme six: True Culture (Building) Versus Pseudo-Culture: The contrast between genuine culture and pseudo-culture is a common theme in Anti-Education. According to Friedrich, true culture requires involvement with great works of philosophy and art, moral seriousness, and deep intellectual formation. On the other hand, pseudo-culture is made up of superficial information, trendy viewpoints, and mindless cultural consumption. According to Friedrich, the majority of contemporary education creates pseudo-culture, deceiving people into thinking they are educated when they have only acquired knowledge.

“Superficial knowledge is necessary for society, in my opinion, but it is at a higher level of education, or perhaps more accurately, it comes after mass education. This creates leaders in various fields, which is essential for society.”

The Humanistic Happiness Theory Backs up Friedrich's Critique.

Theory of human motivation: According Maslow's (1943) stated that humanistic viewpoint, education should foster purpose, creativity, freedom, and social and emotional development rather than just job training. This approach promotes learning as a route to personal fulfillment rather than just economic production, develops the full person, and is consistent with Nietzsche's critique of solely commercial education. Additionally, Scott (2023) stated that since humans have hierarchical wants and true happiness exists at the greatest level, known as self-actualization, happiness derives from completely reaching one's potential rather than from financial success or commercial gain.

Moreover, Theory of Communication, Carl's' (1952) stated that Happiness is the result of developing into a fully realized individual who leads an authentic and meaningful life under the direction of a solid self-concept, continuous personal development, autonomy, and real-world experience-based learning. However, Lucia and Jesus (2025) stated that this entails developing student-focused, democratic, and emotionally supportive learning environments in the classroom so that children are free to develop, explore, and comprehend who they are.

Finally, the oldest and significant Aristotelian theory of Eudaimonia, Kevin (2016) stated that Happiness, also known as Eudaimonia, is the state of leading a moral and fulfilling life that is grounded in moral excellence, rational thought, and ethical behavior instead of the goal of wealth or pleasure. Furthermore, Danae and Patrick (2024) stated that in comparison to education that is solely commercial or profit-driven, humanistic education emphasizes the development of morality, wisdom, and character.

In conclusion, Friedrich's Anti-Education raises significant queries regarding the actual goal of learning and provides an authoritative examination of traditional educational systems. The book's emphasis on uniqueness, originality, and intellectual freedom makes it an important philosophical work even though it lacks useful solutions and could be challenging for certain readers. The book keeps encouraging critical thought about how knowledge should be transmitted and absorbed, and it is still important in today's educational debates.

“In my opinion, effective education must provide discipline before allowing students to make their own decisions, while also integrating new and prior knowledge. While intellectual and philosophical learning produces leaders and problem solvers, mass education is crucial for the stability of society. Education can change attitudes and



Vol. 4 No. 1 (January) (2026)

support long-term social advancement by reorganizing curricula to encourage creativity, counseling, and philosophical comprehension.”

Implementation:

Education from over-commercialization and limited economic instrumentalization, ensuring that educational establishments are not turned into instruments for labor production or market demands. Instead of focusing only on economic efficiency, education should be maintained as a public good committed to comprehensive human development.

The development of moral character, sound judgment, and significant personal development should be clearly stated by the state as the goal of education. Educational policy should prioritize virtue, intelligence, and ethical responsibility over mere measured results.

The state holds responsibilities for empowering authentic instructors and supporting learner-centered, non-authoritarian, and emotionally supportive learning environments. Such circumstances are necessary for genuine learning, intellectual independence, and personal growth.

Limitation of Book:

The book contains flaws in spite of its positive aspects. Friedrich offers criticism without providing a thorough, workable foundation for educational change. All things considered, Anti-Education is a hard and challenging work. Friedrich's criticism of passive learning and conformity is still very important today, despite the fact that some of his concepts may seem radical or unrealistic. Teachers, philosophers, and students interested in educational reform and critical pedagogy will find the book especially helpful. Readers must, however, approach it patiently and be prepared to look deeply into philosophical debates.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests:

Regarding the study, writing, and/or dissemination of this paper, the authors disclosed no possible conflicts of interest.

References

- Albulene, G., & Arif, S. (2016). Formal and Non-Formal Education in the New Era. *Action Research in Education*, 1(7), 119-130. Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Arif-Shala/publication/328812348>.
- Alessio, P., & Giorgio, G. (2019). The Unbearable Shallow Understanding of Deep Learning. *Minds and Machines*, Springer Nature Link, (Vol. 29), 515-553. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11023-019-09512-8>.
- Anil, Y., & Dominik, K. K. (2023). The significance of technology-driven entrepreneurship activities: Lessons from SMEs operating in the manufacturing industry. *Cogent Business & Management*, Taylor & Francis, 10(1), 2185069. doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2185069.
- Bast, G., Carayannis, E., & Campbell, D. (2015). *Arts, Research, Innovation and Society*. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-09909-5_2.
- Brad, B. (2025). *The Educative as Violence: Anti-Educational Impossibilities/Possibilities*. Educational Theory, Wiley online Library. doi.org/10.1111/edth.70050.
- Brindusa, M. R. (2019). *Education in a Modern Society*. Central and Eastern European



Vol. 4 No. 1 (January) (2026)

Online Library, Scientia Moralitas Research Institute, 55(3), 24-36. Retrieved from <https://www.ceeol.com/search/article-detail?id=800088>.

Canadas, A., Jacome, R., & Granero, L. (2025). Integral Humanistic Leadership Model. *Humanist Manag.* doi.org/10.1007/s41463-025-00213-2.

Carl, R. R. (1952). Communication: It's Blocking and its Facilitation. *Review of General Semantics*, JSTOR, 9(2), 83-88. Retrieved from <https://www.jstor.org/stable/42581028>.

Charles, P. (2012). Conspiracy Theories and the Conventional Wisdom Revisited. *Cambridge Core*, Cambridge University Press. *Episteme*, 4(2), 219-232. doi.org/10.3366/epi.2007.4.2.219.

Csorba, L. (2020). The Determining Factors of Financial Culture, Financial Literacy and Financial Behavior. *Public Finance Quarterly*, Corvinus Research, 65 (1), 67-83. doi.org/10.35551/PFQ_2020_1_6.

Danae, H., & Patrick, N. (2024). An Anatomy of Human Dignity; Dissecting the Heart of Humanistic Management. *Humanistic Management Journal*, Springer Nature Link, (Vol. 9), 203-230. Retrieved from <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s41463-024-00183-x>.

Dimitrios, K., Dimitrios, K., Konstantinos, K., Yannis, P., & Christos, D. (2024). Research and Analysis in the Cognitive Principles of Vocational Education and Training in Greece at the Beginning of the 21st Century. *Applied System Innovation*, MDPI, 7(5), 98. doi.org/10.3390/asi7050098.

Friedrich, N. (2016). *Anti-Education, On the Future of our Educational institutions*. New York Review Books, nyrb, New York.

Hiromasa, K., Shunsuke, K., Akihiro, N., & Takuya, K. (2021). Transfer learning and wavelength selection method in NIR spectroscopy to predict glucose and lactate concentrations in culture media using VIP-Boruta. *Analytical Science Advances*, European Chemical Societies Publication, Chemistry Europe, (Vol. 2), 470-479. doi.org/10.1002/ansa.202000177.

Jon, D. W., & Quentin, D. (2020). The Proletarianization of the Professoriate and the Threat to Free Expression, Creativity, and Economic Dynamism. *Journal of Economic Issues*, Taylor & Francis, 54(3), 876-894. doi.org/10.1080/00213624.2020.1791651.

Kenneth, J. S. (2024). *The Failure of Corporate School Reform*. Routledge, Taylor & Francis. Retrieved from <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003392668-9/failure-corporate-school-reform-kenneth-saltman>.

Kevin, M. B. (2016). Toward A Critical Appropriation of Aristoteles's Nicomachean Ethics For The 21st Century: *Journal of Dialogue and Universalism*, Central and Eastern European Online Library, 1(4), 49-67. Retrieved from <https://www.ceeol.com/search/article-detail?id=465687>.

Kondratiev, S. V. P., Natalya, G., Rodionova, N. O., Vladimir, B., Vladimir, S. (2020). Personalized Learning as A Method of Moral and Intellectual Development in the Humanitarianization of the Post-Industrial Society. *Educational Psychology Practices in Europe and the Middle East*, Fundacion Dialnet, 8(2). Retrieved from <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=8113533>.

Kurbonov, J. A. (2021). The Content and Essence of the Concept of Intellectual Culture. *European Journal of Humanities and Educational Advancements*, 2(5), 15-18. doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/T9D7X.

Lucia, F. T., & Jesus, D. S. (2025). Empowering Student Engagement: A Case Study of



Vol. 4 No. 1 (January) (2026)

Transforming Schools through Professional Learning Communities. Wiley Online Library, 60(3), e12754. doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12754.

Maslow, A. H. (1943). A theory of human motivation. *Psychological Review*, 50(4), 370–396. Retrieved from

<https://www.excelcentre.net/TheoryHumanMotivation.pdf>.

Mansour, A., Wang, Q., Davoud, A., Latha, R., Debbita, T. A. L., Malini, G., & Manjet, K. M. S. (2025). The significance of humanistic approach and moral development in English language classrooms. *Discover Education*, Springer Nature Link, 4(238), 1-17, doi.org/10.1007/s44217-025-00691-4

Maya, L. (2024). Knowledge Growth and Specialization Evidence from Oncologists. University of Chicago, SSRN. Retrieved from https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4960603.

Peter, S. (2017). Innovation in Education: What Works, What doesn't, and what to do about it? *Journal of Research in Innovative teaching & Learning*, Emerald Insight, 10(1), 4-33. doi.org/10.1108/JRIT-10-2016-0007.

Orhan, K. (2025). Understanding What Makes Every Language Learner Unique: Individual Differences in Language Learning. *Journal of Individual Differences in Education*, JIDE, 7(1), 17-30. doi.org/10.47156/jide.1680621.

Scott, B. K. (2023). Self-Actualizing People in the 21st Century: Integration with Contemporary Theory and Research on Personality and Well-Being. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology*, Sage Journals, 63(1), 51-83. doi.org/10.1177/0022167818809187.

Seymour, M. L. (2018). *Values, Education, and Entrepreneurship* (1st ed.). Routledge, Taylor & Francis. Retrieved from <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9780429497995-3/values-education-entrepreneurship-seymour-martin-lipset>

Sheena, S. (2025). Prioritizing Students' Development: Should School Focus More on Emotional Intelligence or Academic Achievement? Central Luzon State University, SSRN, 1-27. doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5758664.

Smith, G., & Colette, R. (2013). Modern Education: a Tragedy of the Commons. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, Taylor & Francis, 45(6), 748-767. doi.org/10.1080/00220272.2013.813079.

Thomas, F., & Joel, H. (2021). From Money-Centered to People- and Planet-Centered Ledger Economics: Leveraging the Hidden Wealth of Underutilized Productive Capacity. *Investment Strategies in Emerging New Trends in Finance*, doi: 10.5772/intechopen.95562.

Till, N. (2021). Theodor W. Adorno's Criticism of the German Concept of Bildung. *International Research Journal*, Spring, Kolegji AAB, 10(1), 111-133. Retrieved from <https://hrcak.srce.hr/file/377845>.

Tiyara, M., Aiman, F., & Arief, H. A. (2025). A Humanistic Approach to Cultivating Empathy in Inclusive Elementary Schools: An Analysis of Teacher Strategies. *Journal of Innovation and Research in primary Education*, JIRPE, 4(4). doi.org/10.56916/jirpe.v4i4.2198.

Victor, Z. C., & Michael, A. H. (2019). Knowledge Synthesis for Scientific Management: Practical Integration for Complexity versus Scientific Fragmentation for Simplicity. *Journal of Management*, Sage Journal, 30(2). doi.org/10.1177/1056492619862051.

Vladimir, A. E. (2024). Extended Utilization of Organizational Culture of Professional Schools Through Specialized Disciplines. *Proceeding of the 17th annual*

Dialogue Social Science Review (DSSR)

www.thedssr.com

ISSN Online: 3007-3154

ISSN Print: 3007-3146



DIALOGUE SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW

Vol. 4 No. 1 (January) (2026)

International Conference of Education, Research and Innovation, November 11-13, 2024 (pp.2340-1095). EDU LEARN26 IATED Digital Library Home.
doi.org/10.21125/iceri.2024.1317.