



Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

## **Exploring The Barriers To Female Higher Education In Buner District: A Case Study Of Rural Communities**

**Hamza Noor**

Department of Political Science, University of Peshawar

Email: [nhamza693@gmail.com](mailto:nhamza693@gmail.com)

**Seema Gul\***

Department of Political Science, University of Peshawar

Email: [seema26gul@gmail.com](mailto:seema26gul@gmail.com)

**Bushra Khan\***

Department of Political Science, University of Peshawar

Email: [bushrasurani@gmail.com](mailto:bushrasurani@gmail.com)

### **ABSTRACT**

This study investigates the barriers to female higher education in the rural communities of Buner District, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. Despite the recognized social and economic benefits of educating women, rural girls continue to face significant challenges in accessing higher education. Employing a qualitative case study approach, the research draws on in-depth interviews with female students, parents, teachers, and community leaders to explore socio-cultural, economic, institutional, and emotional factors limiting educational progression beyond secondary school. Findings indicate that patriarchal norms, early marriages, restricted mobility, financial constraints, inadequate infrastructure, and shortage of female staff and mentors substantially hinder girls' educational opportunities. Those pursuing higher education often experience societal scrutiny and emotional stress, while families negotiate between tradition and aspirations. Nevertheless, narratives of resilience reveal how supportive parents and local role models can foster educational advancement. Participants suggest contextually relevant solutions, including girls-only colleges, safe transport, and community awareness initiatives. The study underscores the need for community-driven, culturally sensitive interventions and offers practical insights for policymakers, educators, and NGOs aiming to enhance female education in conservative rural settings.

**Key words:** Female Education, Rural Communities, Socio-Cultural Barriers, Gender Inequality.

### **Introduction**

Education is a fundamental human right and a cornerstone of social and economic development. Female education, in particular, transforms communities by improving health, reducing poverty, and enhancing civic participation (UNESCO, 2022; UN Women, 2020). Despite global recognition of its benefits, significant gender gaps persist, especially in rural and conservative regions. The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs 4 and 5) emphasize removing barriers to education, yet Pakistan struggles to meet these targets, particularly in rural areas of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KP).

In Buner District, female literacy is below 40%, compared to 65% in urban areas (PSLM,



## Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

2021). Patriarchal norms, early marriages, restricted mobility, limited female teachers, and poor infrastructure systematically hinder girls' access to higher education (Aslam, 2009; Andrabi et al., 2012). Historical legacies and weak policy implementation further exacerbate these challenges. While prior research often focuses on urban centers, Buner's unique tribal culture, religious values, and geographic isolation remain understudied.

Female higher education in rural Pakistan has profound socio-economic implications, including intergenerational benefits, delayed marriages, and increased community participation (King & Hill, 1993; Naviwala, 2016; World Bank, 2018). Yet, economic constraints, social norms, and infrastructural limitations continue to prevent many girls from advancing beyond secondary school.

### **Problem Statement:**

Rural women in Buner face multifaceted socio-cultural, economic, and institutional barriers to higher education, including family restrictions, early marriages, and safety concerns. Existing policies and initiatives often fail to address local realities.

### **Research Question:**

What are the key barriers that hinder female access to higher education in the rural communities of Buner District, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa?

### **Objectives:**

- Explore socio-cultural factors restricting female higher education.
- Examine economic and infrastructural barriers.
- Understand community perceptions regarding female education.
- Identify locally relevant strategies to enhance female enrollment.

### **Scope and Limitations:**

This study focuses on rural Buner District and employs qualitative interviews with female students, parents, teachers, and community leaders. It emphasizes lived experiences rather than statistical generalizability. Limitations include self-reported data, accessibility challenges, and cultural sensitivities.

### **Literature Review:**

#### **Importance of Female Education**

Educating girls has a multiplier effect: it benefits families and strengthens society. Educated women raise educated children, manage households effectively, and help address social issues like unemployment and dowry practices (Rashid & Kumari, 2016; Somani, 2017). Equal access to education for women is vital for societal balance and development.

#### **Global Perspective on Barriers**

Globally, girls face structural, socio-cultural, and economic barriers, with approximately 129 million out of school, including 32 million at upper secondary and tertiary levels (UNESCO, 2022). Economic constraints, such as tuition, transport, and hidden costs, limit access in low-income contexts (World Bank, 2018). Social norms, early marriage, safety concerns, and gender bias further hinder participation, especially in conflict-affected regions (UNICEF, 2021; Winthrop & Kirk, 2008). Infrastructural challenges, including distance, lack of sanitation, and gender-insensitive facilities, also discourage enrollment. Supply-side solutions like cash transfers or school meals often fail to address



## Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

underlying social norms (Unterhalter, 2017).

### **South Asian Context**

In India, rural-urban disparities and caste/religion-based discrimination affect girls' education, despite campaigns like Beti Bachao Beti Padhao (AISHE, 2021; Jeffrey & Witsoe, 2014). Bangladesh has achieved parity at primary/secondary levels, but rural girls face college access, transportation, and mobility barriers (Rahman & Parvin, 2018; Hossain & Tavakoli, 2008). Nepal shows urban progress, yet rural, ethnically marginalized areas still struggle with early marriage, gender-based violence, and limited role models (Bista, 2011; Thapa, 2016).

### **Pakistan: Policies and Rural Disparities**

National and provincial policies, including the National Education Policy (2009) and KP Girls' Education Policy, aim to reduce gender gaps, but implementation remains inconsistent (Government of Pakistan, 2009; Ministry of Planning, 2014). Rural KP, particularly Buner, shows extreme disparities: fewer than 15% of girls complete secondary education, and cultural norms, infrastructure deficits, and lack of female teachers limit higher education access (Pakistan Bureau of Statistics, 2021; UNESCO, 2022).

### **Cultural, Social, and Economic Influences in KP**

Patriarchal norms, purdah practices, early marriage, and fear of social stigma restrict girls' mobility and education (Shah & Shah, 2012; Alvi, 2014). Economic hardships compel families to prioritize boys' education and withdraw girls from school due to costs and opportunity losses (Asadullah & Chaudhury, 2009). Infrastructural gaps, including unsafe schools, inadequate sanitation, transport, and female teacher shortages, exacerbate dropout rates (PIDE, 2020).

### **Local Studies on Buner and Similar Regions**

Few studies focus specifically on Buner. Research in KP highlights cultural restrictions, male guardianship, and teacher absenteeism as major barriers (Jan & Khan, 2020; Gul & Ali, 2018). Locally-driven solutions, such as hiring female teachers and community-hostels, have improved enrollment in neighboring districts (Rashid & Mukhtar, 2017). Community participation and acceptance by local leaders are critical for effective interventions (Naviwala, 2016).

### **Research Methodology:**

#### **Research Design**

This study employed a qualitative case study approach to explore barriers to female higher education in Buner District, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Qualitative methods are ideal for in-depth understanding of participants' experiences and perceptions (Creswell & Poth, 2018). A case study allows detailed analysis of the social and cultural dynamics unique to Buner (Yin, 2018). Findings are contextual rather than generalizable.

#### **Study Area and Population**

Buner is a rural, mountainous district with limited educational infrastructure, low female literacy, and strict adherence to tribal and religious norms. The district has a single government girls' college and few high schools. The target population included female students, parents, teachers, and community/religious leaders to capture multiple



## Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

perspectives.

### **Sampling and Sample Size**

Purposive sampling was used to select participants directly involved or influential in female education (Palinkas et al., 2015). The sample included 15 participants: 5 female students, 4 parents, 4 teachers, and 2 community leaders, ensuring diversity across gender, profession, and generational lines.

### **Data Collection**

Semi-structured interviews were the primary tool (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2009), covering themes such as educational experiences, socio-cultural norms, economic and infrastructural barriers, perceptions of educated women, and suggested reforms. Interviews lasted 30–45 minutes, conducted in Pashto or Urdu, audio-recorded, and later transcribed and translated into English.

### **Data Analysis**

Thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006) was used to identify patterns and themes. Steps included data familiarization, coding, theme identification, review, definition, and report production.

### **Trustworthiness**

Credibility was ensured through triangulation and member checking. Transferability was supported via rich contextual descriptions. Dependability was maintained with an audit trail and peer review, while confirmability was enhanced through reflexivity and field notes (Lincoln & Guba, 1985).

### **Ethical Considerations**

Ethical clearance was obtained. Key measures included informed consent, confidentiality, voluntary participation, cultural sensitivity, and secure data storage. Female research assistants conducted interviews with women to ensure comfort.

### **Limitations**

Limitations include non-generalizability, access constraints, potential response bias, translation nuances, and time/resource constraints. Despite this, the study provides valuable insights into local barriers to female higher education and informs targeted interventions.

### **Results and Findings:**

#### **Overview of Participants**

The study engaged 15 participants from diverse segments of rural Buner: 5 female students pursuing or aspiring to higher education, 4 parents (2 mothers, 2 fathers), 4 teachers (2 female, 2 male), and 2 community or religious leaders. Participants represented various villages, age groups, and socio-economic backgrounds, predominantly lower- to middle-income households. Their narratives revealed shared patterns, which were organized into ten primary themes.

### **Emerging Themes**



## Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

### **Socio-Cultural Resistance to Female Education**

A dominant theme was the restrictive socio-cultural mindset. Girls faced discouragement from relatives, pressure to prioritize marriage, and societal suspicion for pursuing higher education. Education was perceived as a threat to traditional gender roles, with even supportive parents experiencing tension between aspirations for their daughters and community expectations. Religious guidance was cited ambiguously, with education accepted only within perceived cultural or religious boundaries, perpetuating confusion and restrictions.

### **Early Marriages and Educational Dropouts**

Early marriage emerged as a significant barrier. Students frequently discontinued education post-middle or high school due to engagements or family concerns over honor, social visibility, and marriageability. Even academically gifted girls were compelled to abandon studies due to restrictive parental or societal decisions.

### **Financial Constraints**

Economic hardship limited access to higher education. Hidden costs transportation, books, uniforms, and meals posed significant burdens. Families often prioritized boys' education, viewing daughters as temporary household members. Limited awareness of scholarships further restricted financial support.

### **Transport, Infrastructure, and Safety Concerns**

Unsafe and inadequate transportation, poor roads, and harassment during travel impeded access. College infrastructure was also insufficient, with limited boundary walls, libraries, laboratories, and digital resources, compounding barriers to retention and quality education.

### **Parental and Community Support**

Supportive family members, particularly fathers or mothers, were crucial enablers. Where present, encouragement facilitated attendance and persistence despite cultural pressures. Community attitudes showed gradual positive shifts, influenced by returning educated women serving as local role models.

### **Perceptions of Educated Women**

Community perceptions were mixed. While professions like teaching or healthcare gained respect, girls pursuing non-traditional fields faced criticism, labeled as "rebellious" or "stubborn." Nevertheless, visible role models helped reshape attitudes over time, promoting gradual acceptance.

### **Aspirations and Career Goals**

Despite structural and social barriers, students exhibited clear ambitions, aiming to serve their communities as teachers, healthcare professionals, and social workers. However, lack of mentorship, career guidance, and institutional support constrained realization of these aspirations.

### **Emotional and Psychological Challenge**

Participants reported stress, fear, isolation, and self-doubt arising from continuous scrutiny and societal pressures. Emotional burden affected confidence, motivation, and mental health, highlighting the need for psychosocial support mechanisms.



## Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

### **Institutional Support and Government Engagement**

Limited resources, absence of female staff, inadequate infrastructure, and lack of outreach for scholarships reflected systemic neglect. Families willing to support female education faced practical and structural impediments.

### **Community Recommendations**

Participants suggested:

Establishing more girls' colleges to reduce travel burdens

Providing safe, girls-only transport

Offering scholarships and stipends

Increasing female teaching staff

Conducting awareness campaigns via respected community figures

### **Summary of Key Findings**

Barriers to female higher education in Buner are multifaceted: restrictive cultural norms, financial and infrastructural limitations, emotional stress, and limited institutional support. Yet, student aspirations, parental encouragement, and emerging role models indicate potential for change. Community-driven solutions were emphasized as essential to improving access.

### **Integration with Existing Literature**

#### **Alignment with Global and National Trends**

Barriers identified early marriage, economic hardship, inadequate infrastructure, and socio-cultural resistance reflect global patterns in rural regions of the Global South (UNESCO, 2022; World Bank, 2018) and align with regional findings in Bangladesh and Pakistan (Rahman & Parvin, 2018; PSLM, 2021).

#### **Role of Patriarchy and Honor Culture**

Findings reinforce prior research (Aslam, 2009; Shah & Shah, 2012) that patriarchal traditions and honor-based norms strongly influence female educational access. Girls' motivation and abilities are not the issue; rather, mobility and societal visibility pose perceived threats to family honor.

#### **Agency and Aspirations**

Contrary to passive stereotypes, participants demonstrated resilience and agency. Career ambitions were grounded in self-empowerment and community service (Thapa, 2016; Naviwala, 2016). Family support, exposure to role models, and incremental local changes suggest that bottom-up transformation is possible, though slow.

### **Thematic Summary Table**

Theme	Key Observations
Socio-cultural resistance	Girls face criticism, honor-related restrictions, and skepticism about education.
Early marriage	Common reason for dropout after grade 8 or 10; education seen as secondary to marriage.
Financial barriers	Direct (fees, supplies) and indirect (transport) costs limit access to education.



## Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

Infrastructure and safety	Lack of nearby colleges, safe transport, toilets, and boundary walls deter families.
Support and role models	Supportive parents and educated women inspire younger girls; absence of mentorship is a gap.
Emotional toll	Constant judgment leads to anxiety, shame, and reduced classroom engagement.
Institutional neglect	Absence of career counseling, outreach, and female faculty discourages participation.
Student aspirations	Strong motivation among students to become teachers, doctors, or social workers.
Community recommendations	More girls' colleges, safe transport, awareness campaigns, and local scholarships.

### Conclusion and Recommendations:

This chapter critically reflects on the key findings presented in Chapter 4, situating them within the broader academic literature and the socio-cultural context of rural Pakistan. It discusses the barriers to female higher education in Buner, their interconnections, and implications for policy, practice, and future research. Emphasis is placed not only on challenges but also on pathways for enhancing educational inclusion for rural women.

### Discussion of Key Findings in Relation to Literature

#### Socio-Cultural Resistance and Patriarchal Norms

The study identified deeply entrenched socio-cultural resistance to female education. Traditional expectations regarding gender roles and family honor continue to constrain girls' mobility and academic engagement. These findings align with Shah and Shah (2012) and Jan & Khan (2020), who documented similar patterns in rural Pakistan, where cultural norms override educational aspirations. Religious justifications were frequently cited to restrict education, although some religious leaders confirmed that Islam supports female education, highlighting that these barriers are more cultural than theological.

#### Early Marriages and the Female Life Course

Early marriage was a significant factor limiting educational attainment. Students were often married shortly after matriculation, truncating their academic ambitions. This practice mirrors findings from Bangladesh, Nepal, and Afghanistan (Rahman & Parvin, 2018; Thapa, 2016) and is viewed locally as a protective strategy (Haq, 2019; Alvi, 2014). The study reinforces evidence that delayed marriage, facilitated through continued education, yields broader developmental benefits, including improved health outcomes and intergenerational advantages (World Bank, 2018).

#### Financial Constraints and Economic Prioritization

Economic hardship was a critical barrier. Families often prioritized boys' education due to expected future income, while girls faced opportunity costs related to household contributions. Hidden expenses such as transport, books, and uniforms compounded exclusion. These findings corroborate Asadullah and Chaudhury (2009) and Naviwala (2016), emphasizing that financial support mechanisms, when inaccessible or poorly communicated, fail to mitigate educational inequity.

#### Infrastructure and Transport Limitations



## Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

Insufficient infrastructure and unsafe transport significantly impeded access. Long travel distances, lack of gender-sensitive facilities, and poorly resourced colleges discouraged attendance. These results are consistent with UNESCO (2022) and Pakistan-focused studies (Asim, 2018; PIDE, 2020). Students repeatedly emphasized the need for safe, girls-only transport and local hostels as context-specific solutions.

### **Emotional and Psychological Challenges**

The study highlighted the psychological toll of pursuing education in a conservative society. Participants reported stress, fear of judgment, low self-esteem, and isolation. This dimension is often neglected in policy discussions but has critical implications for retention and learning outcomes (Winthrop & Kirk, 2008). Peer mentorship, counseling, and safe educational environments are essential to address these challenges.

### **Positive Deviance: Stories of Support and Aspiration**

Despite barriers, narratives of resilience emerged. Supportive family members, particularly fathers, enabled girls' education, and community role models fostered aspirations. This "positive deviance" demonstrates that individual and familial agency can challenge restrictive norms and catalyze incremental social change (Nawiwala, 2016; Rashid & Mukhtar, 2017).

### **Emerging Patterns and Interlinkages**

The study revealed interconnected patterns:

Cultural norms enforce early marriage and restrict mobility, limiting educational continuity.

Economic hardship reinforces gendered prioritization of boys' education.

Infrastructure gaps and institutional neglect compound socio-cultural barriers.

Emotional stress both results from and reinforces these challenges.

Supportive families and role models mitigate structural barriers and encourage resilience.

These patterns underscore that effective interventions must address cultural, economic, institutional, and psychological dimensions simultaneously

### **Recommendations**

#### **For Government and Policymakers**

**Establish Additional Girls' Colleges:** Reduce travel distances and improve accessibility.

**Provide Safe and Subsidized Transport:** Ensure gender-sensitive mobility to increase attendance.

**Launch Scholarships and Stipends:** Mitigate financial barriers for low-income families.

**Enhance Institutional Infrastructure:** Invest in libraries, labs, computer facilities, and female staff.

**Integrate Career Counseling and Mentorship Programs:** Guide students in academic and professional planning.

#### **For Religious and Community Leaders**



## Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

**Promote Religious Support for Education:** Advocate for female education in sermons, madrasas, and community forums.

**Lead by Example:** Encourage elders supporting daughters' education to share their experiences publicly.

**Establish Community Education Committees:** Monitor school quality, track dropouts, and liaise with government departments.

### **For Families and Parents:**

**Engage Both Parents in Educational Decisions:** Encourage joint, informed decision-making to prioritize daughters' education.

**Delay Early Marriages:** Promote awareness of the developmental and social benefits of continued education.

**Provide Emotional Support:** Recognize and encourage girls' efforts to enhance confidence and resilience.

### **Conclusion:**

This study examined the barriers to female higher education in Buner District, a conservative and remote region of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. Interviews with 15 participants students, parents, teachers, and community leaders revealed a complex interplay of cultural, economic, institutional, and psychological factors restricting access to education.

### **Key findings include:**

Dominance of patriarchal norms and honor-based cultural beliefs

Early marriages and familial pressures curtailing educational trajectories

Financial hardship and inadequate infrastructure limiting opportunities

Safety and transport concerns hindering mobility

Institutional neglect, including lack of female staff and career guidance

Emotional and psychological stress arising from societal scrutiny.

Despite these barriers, narratives of courage, aspiration, and family support emerged.

Students expressed strong desires to contribute to families and communities, and positive deviance highlighted the transformative potential of individual and familial agency.

This research emphasizes that female education in rural Pakistan is not merely an academic pursuit but a social, cultural, and developmental act. By capturing participants' voices, the study provides a nuanced understanding of both barriers and pathways toward educational inclusion. It underscores the need for holistic, context-sensitive interventions addressing structural, cultural, and emotional dimensions.

### **Contribution to Knowledge and Future Research**

This study contributes to scholarship by:

Providing a district-specific, qualitative account of barriers to female higher education in underrepresented rural areas

Integrating emotional and psychological dimensions into the discourse on educational exclusion



## Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

Highlighting community-driven solutions and positive deviance as catalysts for bottom-up change

### Future Research Directions:

Longitudinal tracking of rural female students' educational and career trajectories

Comparative analyses between districts with similar cultural contexts but differing educational outcomes

Evaluations of pilot interventions (transport, hostels, mentorship programs) to assess scalability and impact

### References:

- All India Survey on Higher Education (AISHE). (2021). AISHE 2019–20 final report. Ministry of Education, Government of India. <https://www.education.gov.in/en/statistics-new>
- Alvi, M. (2014). Barriers to girls' education in Pakistan. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity*, 4(5), 407–411. <https://doi.org/10.7763/IJSSH.2014.V4.386>
- Andrabi, T., Das, J., & Khwaja, A. I. (2012). What did you do all day?: Maternal education and child outcomes. *Journal of Human Resources*, 47(4), 873–912. <https://doi.org/10.3368/jhr.47.4.873>
- Asadullah, M. N., & Chaudhury, N. (2009). Constraints to female education in rural Pakistan. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 44(44), 71–77.
- Asim, S. (2018). Public expenditure and rural girls' education in Pakistan. *Journal of Education Finance*, 44(3), 296–317. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jef.2018.0013>
- Aslam, M. (2009). Education gender gaps in Pakistan: Is the labor market to blame? *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 57(4), 747–784. <https://doi.org/10.1086/598767>
- Bista, M. B. (2011). Review of research literature on girls' education in Nepal. UNESCO Kathmandu Office.
- Government of Pakistan. (2009). National Education Policy 2009. Ministry of Education. <http://www.moe.gov.pk>
- Gul, R., & Ali, S. (2018). An analysis of teacher absenteeism in public sector schools of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. *Pakistan Journal of Education*, 35(1), 109–124.
- Haq, R. (2019). Socio-religious constraints on girls' education in Pakistan: A qualitative perspective. *South Asian Studies*, 34(2), 503–515.
- Hossain, N., & Tavakoli, H. (2008). School exclusion and gender inequality: Evidence from Bangladesh. Overseas Development Institute. <https://cdn.odi.org/media/documents/3353.pdf>
- Iqbal, W., Yumei, H., Abbas, Q., & Mirza, F. M. (2021). Nexus between climate change and migration in Pakistan: Empirical evidence from time-series data. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28, 10318–10330. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-11593-x>
- Jan, S., & Khan, A. (2020). Barriers to female mobility and education in Swat. *Journal of Social Sciences*, 9(2), 155–168.
- Jeffrey, C., & Witsoe, J. (2014). Dalit politics and the Indian state. *Journal of Contemporary Asia*, 44(4), 664–685. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472336.2014.923636>
- King, E. M., & Hill, M. A. (1993). Women's education in developing countries: Barriers, benefits, and policies. World Bank.



## Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

- Ministry of Planning, Development & Reform. (2014). Pakistan Vision 2025. Government of Pakistan. <https://www.pc.gov.pk>
- Naviwala, N. (2016). Pakistan's education crisis: The real story. Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED570671>
- Naviwala, N. (2016). Pakistan's Education Crisis: The Real Story. Woodrow Wilson Center. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED570671>
- Nayar, U. (2011). Education of girls in India: Progress and prospects. National University of Educational Planning and Administration (NUEPA).
- Pakistan Bureau of Statistics. (2021). Pakistan Social and Living Standards Measurement Survey 2020–21. Government of Pakistan. <https://www.pbs.gov.pk>
- Pakistan Bureau of Statistics. (2021). Pakistan Social and Living Standards Measurement Survey 2020–21. Government of Pakistan. <https://www.pbs.gov.pk>
- Pakistan Institute of Development Economics. (2020). District education rankings 2020. Islamabad: PIDE.
- Rahman, T., & Parvin, S. (2018). Higher education in Bangladesh: Status, challenges and prospects. *International Journal of Education and Research*, 6(6), 15–28.
- Rashid, S., & Mukhtar, S. (2017). Girls' education in rural Pakistan: Community-based interventions in Swat. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 2(3), 1–12.
- Ruhee Rashid, Dr. Mamta Kumari, "Need and Importance of Female Education". *American Research Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, Volume 2016; pp:1-9
- Sahni, U. (2020). India's Beti Bachao Beti Padhao programme: A policy analysis. ORF Occasional Paper No. 242. <https://www.orfonline.org/research/beti-bachao-beti-padhao-policy-analysis/>
- Shah, D., & Shah, I. (2012). Gender inequality in education: Evidence from Pakistan. *Journal of Research and Reflections in Education*, 6(2), 82–99.
- Somani, T. (2017). Importance of educating girls for the overall development of society: A global perspective. *Journal of Educational Research and Practice*, 7(1), 125–139. <https://doi.org/10.5590/JERAP.2017.07.1.10>
- Thapa, A. (2016). Nepal's higher education policy and its impact on rural girls. *Asian Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 34–45. <https://doi.org/10.20849/ajss.v1i2.86>
- UN Women. (2020). Turning Promises into Action: Gender Equality in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. <https://www.unwomen.org>
- UNESCO Institute for Statistics. (2022). Gender Parity Index in Higher Education. <https://uis.unesco.org>