



Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

The Nexus of Artificial Intelligence and Law: An Analytical Perspective

Dr. Imtiaz Ahmad Khan

Associate Professor/ Chairperson Law, University of Sahiwal, Sahiwal

Email: imtiazahmad@uosahiwal.edu.pk

Syed Najaf Abbas Shah

LLM, Department of Law, University of Sahiwal, Sahiwal

University of Sahiwal

H Shah Jalal Ud Din

Visiting Lecturer at University of Sahiwal, Sahiwal

Email: jshah5584@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The utility of Artificial Intelligence in legal domain is the core objective of this paper coupled with established conception of AI and modern technological classifications. It pertains the scope of AI applications in legal field, its relevancy to law and its impact on legal field. Different scholarships have rendered different accounts on law specific intersection of AI and Law. This paper specifically delves into a thorough analysis of the interactive relation between AI and Law. It renders insights into the nexus of AI and law with special focus on legal principles of rule of law, administration of justice, and access to justice. The paper argues that how the inefficient execution concerns pertinent to these nuances demand the relational execution of AI and Law to foster the efficient justice system. Comparative analysis of contrast legal reasoning with AI reasoning models has been executed to identify interdisciplinary concerns of scholars of both fields. Analysis of the fundamental differences and potential synergies of Law and AI technology has been conducted to observe the capabilities, perception and limitations of Artificial Intelligence in replicating human legal wisdom and cognition. AI augmented legal system is just a new beginning and it will flourish with thoughtful regulations and policies.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Law, Justice System, Legal Tech, Rule of Law, Access to Justice, Legal Reasoning, Machine Learning, Deep Learning

Introduction

The rapid advancements in the field of artificial intelligence (hereinafter AI) can no longer be denied, and it cannot be confined to the sphere of science fiction. Just as our daily lives and professional workplaces were revolutionized by the invention of the Internet, AI has influenced extraordinarily on the legal profession and society as on the other fields of life. It is likely to reshape the practice of law for the foreseeable future. It is the need of the hour that jurists and lawyers must gain a comprehensive understanding of AI and its potential applications in the legal domain. The adoption of generative AI in the judiciary is expected to bring ample changes to the structure and operations of the entire legal system. The widespread availability of generative AI tools has caused development of significant public interest across various sectors, including the law



Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

fields. In recent days, the debate of the law community revolves around the questions of whether AI could replace human lawyers and what is the role of law in regulating AI. These are now central concerns for both the legal profession and governments worldwide. The Public and government agencies expect from the Justice Systems to provide modern, digital, and responsive judicial services like other modernized departments because it reduces the pendency of cases as well as resolve financial constraints.

The aim of this paper is to provide the basic understanding and analysis of AI and its intersection with the legal landscape. It explores the interdisciplinary fusion of AI and Law. It explains how technological advancements in AI are reshaping the future of law and justice and how it is influencing judicial processes. It investigates whether AI contributes or hinders equitable legal justice and the accessibility of justice aligned with fundamental legal principles. The paper encompasses the definition, scope, intersection points with law, and the differing reasoning models of AI and human legal thought, while reviewing existing literature and identifying areas for further research. The methodology employed for this research paper is primarily analytical and descriptive approach. It covers all inclusive review of existing literature, conceptual frameworks, and practical applications of AI and its intersection with the legal field. The paper integrates information from various sources including academic articles, conference papers, governmental reports, and legal sector analyses to provide a broad understanding of the subject matter. Secondary data is analyzed while interpreting findings from prior research in order to build a solid description about the current and future prospects of AI in law. The methodology facilitates the researchers, policymakers, and legal practitioners by providing foundational research to understand broad perspectives of AI and Law nexus

Broad Perspective of AI and Law Nexus

In recent days, AI is rapidly emerging as a revolutionary tool which is transforming various sectors of life, including the legal systems across the globe. AI has attained considerable attention worldwide. In 1950, the term AI came to surface when computer scientist Alan Turing asked an interesting question, can machines think? After the Second World War, researchers were gathered in a Dartmouth conference to explore “thinking machines” and the term AI was first coined during the conference. In 1956, Prof. John McCarthy defined the term AI in the conference “Dartmouth Summer Research Project” on Artificial Intelligence (World Travel & Tourism Council, 2024). Although there is no universal definition of Artificial Intelligence which is accepted across the globe However, it generally refers to the use of information and communication technologies in order to design systems or machines having the capacity to perform assignments, duties, and works that usually require human intelligence. AI systems and machines have the capabilities to input huge volumes of data and information and it gives output in the forms of forecasting predictions, suggestions, and assessments by understanding and analyzing the patterns of data.

There are two types of AI systems: one type is dependent on human interference, and the other type works independently and it can learn from data generated in the past as well as in the future over time (Bhatti, 2025). It is a field of computer science which is capable of performing tasks which usually require human intelligence. Brain functions like learning, reasoning, problem-solving, and decision-making can be performed by AI Systems. Advancement in computer power and large volume of data, a simple rule-based system has been converted into a complex model that can perform as intelligently as the



Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

human brain (Department for Science, Innovation and Technology, Government Digital Service, Office for Artificial Intelligence, & Centre for Data Ethics and Innovation, 2024). AI systems are programmed to think, learn, and perform like human brains and in some cases, it has been observed to have advanced capabilities as compared to the human brain. The AI system can solve complicated problems and predict decisions by analyzing large volumes of information. AI systems have three components; advanced algorithms, data, and computing power which perform intelligent behavior. Algorithms are the set of rules which instruct computers and machines how to perform tasks, step by step by encoding human knowledge and experience, and it allows computers to behave intelligently. The role of Data is as fuel for modern computing and AI algorithms which allow machines to learn and observe relations and patterns in order to make predictions and decisions. Substantial volume of data is required to learn patterns and relations. Computing power is behind AI systems which process data and information very speedily.

There are mainly two methods of learning through which an AI System learns to perform tasks, i.e., Machine Learning (hereinafter ML) and Deep Learning (hereinafter DL). In ML, an AI system is trained from a large volume of data and it continuously improves its performance. Predictions such as weather forecasting, image and speech recognition are made by AI Systems powered by ML, whereas DL uses complex methods which are based on the inspirations of human brains. We can say that DL is a more complicated type of ML. Complex tasks of generating text and art and medical drug discovery are done through AI systems powered by DL (World Travel & Tourism Council, 2024). In ML, advanced algorithms are trained on large datasets which enable the system to recognize the pattern and relations of data and make predictions and generate new data. AI systems learn from data without being programmed for each specific task. The learning process of AI systems consists of two ways: supervised learning and unsupervised learning. While discussing supervised learning, each input is interconnected with relevant output from which the system learns the relation between input characteristic and outcome features which are subsequently used to predict.

On the other hand, in unsupervised learning, a system does not rely on data; it discovers hidden structures or patterns within the data itself. Generative AI is further an advancement in the field of AI which is designed to create new art or text (Department for Science, Innovation and Technology, Government Digital Service, Office for Artificial Intelligence, & Centre for Data Ethics and Innovation, 2024). Stolper (2024) contended about dual roles of artificial intelligence as an assistant and as an autonomous decision-maker in judicial systems. AI as a Judicial Assistant can assist judges by providing preliminary legal advice, analyzing case facts, and generating draft decisions for review. Such systems expedite court processes, reduce costs, and enhance accessibility to justice. These specialized systems are trained on legal datasets which can summarize case facts or assess legal issues. AI as an autonomous decision maker makes decisions independently, without judicial oversight. The leading role of AI systems in homeland and national security and in enforcement of law cannot be denied. Crime detection, surveillance of criminal activities and offenders and optimization of human and machineries have been enhanced significantly by employing AI driven systems in metropolitan policing (Bhatti, S. A, 2025).

Productivity and decision-making are being enhanced through predictive analytics and data-driven tools powered by AI. Customers are now engaged by chatbots and virtual assistants round the clock by the business companies and organizations. Recruitment processes, performance evaluation of employees and company are now becoming more



Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

transparent and efficient through AI powered tools utilizations. Cyber security is being benefited particularly in detecting and countering cyber threats, while employing AI technology in the system. It is also being used in protecting sensitive data and infrastructure. By and large, the scope of AI is measureless and gradually evolving. It is not only performing traditional tasks which need human intelligence but also transforming businesses and organizations in decision-making, and innovations across multiple sectors (Fayad, A, 2024).

In contemporary jurisprudence, the intersection of AI and legal systems indicates one of the most significant paradigm shifts. AI systems are continuously evolving and integrating, and its interconnection with multiple dimensions of law fields. Law and Justice Systems rely on judicial precedent and human judgment, whereas AI systems depend on vast volumes of legal data in order to identify the patterns, and to generate insights with speed. In this interdisciplinary nexus of AI and law, there are serious concerns about AI's role in the justice system, its societal impact and governance issues which will be discussed in the upcoming paragraphs. Rapid adoption of AI in the justice systems has been observed in many developed countries with the purpose to improve the efficiency and productivity of judge's efficiency and judicial staff. AI is enhancing accessibility to justice and assisting in decision making. It is helping in addressing issues of complex legal procedures, high-cost legal assistance, and unequal access to justice and court backlog of cases efficiently with accuracy. Fundamental legal principles of fairness in justice, equality and human rights have been protected more effectively by utilization of AI in the judicial system (Bhatti, S. A, 2025).

Civilized societies are governed by principles of fairness in justice, clear and consistent application of laws which is called rule of law. It is a foundational principle of law in which there is no value of arbitrary decisions in civilized societies. Rule of law means that all individuals and institutions are accountable and equally treated under the law. The essentials of rule of law are legal certainty, equality, independent judiciary and protection of rights (Tarnowska, 2012). AI has the capacity to enhance accessibility to justice, efficiency and accuracy in legal processes and it can maintain justice and fairness by integration in legal systems (Yatama, 2025). Efficiency and precision of legal operations have particularly been enhanced by AI systems. These tools have enabled it to analyze large volumes of legal information and it can quickly give relevant judicial precedents and key case laws (Emejuo et al., 2025). It has the ability to predict litigation outcomes and the legal experts to be more informed. AI powered predictive tools help the parties to assess the likelihood of success in litigations, assisting in out of courtroom settlements. This has not only reduced the cost but also time-consuming litigations (Samuel & Benjamin, 2025). Legal services and legal information are becoming more accessible to the individuals who cannot afford traditional legal services. Basic legal information and questions on legal procedures are being provided through AI driven chatbots and virtual assistants. In this way, AI is helping the law domain to some great extent in enforcement of rule of law in a better way which was not possible prior to these advancements (Murray, 2023).

Administration of justice can be defined as the process through which the legal system implements laws in true spirit, settlement of disputes by judgments and support and protects the legal principle of rule of law in the society. Protection of rights, fair and impartial legal process and maintaining social order, are primarily purposes of administration of justice. The legislative, executive and judiciary have interconnected roles in administration of justice (Silverman, 2023). Fundamental values of administrative justice are judicial integrity and fairness. However, administration of



Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

justice is confronting inefficiency, judicial backlog, and inaccessibility issues in developing countries. Time consuming legal procedures is one of main issues in administration of justice which can be addressed by automation of case management, document processing and legal research. Work load of judges and judicial staff can be reduced by deployment of AI driven tools in case adjudication and legal processes. Secondly, the issue of backlog of cases can be addressed by AI powered predictive tools which analyze past decisions of courts and patterns in similar cases which helps judges and attorneys in legal decision making. Inconsistency in decision is often observed as an issue in administration of justice. Large volumes of data of legal documents can be analyzed quickly on established guidelines of jurisprudence. These tools assist judges in identification of precedents and legal principles in similar cases. Decision predictability by AI power tools assists in standardization of legal rulings in legal processes. Individuals and groups having limited financial resources face lack of access to justice. Provision of online legal guidance and awareness can be enhanced and improved through AI driven legal tools.

Individual and groups can understand their legal rights and know about viability of their claims and success ratio in litigations and it will be cost effective and affordable (Nouri, Ben Salah, & Al Omrane, 2024). Access to justice is a fundamental right which is guaranteed by every civilized state which means it is the capacity of groups and individuals to seek and obtain judicial remedy from legal processes apart from social and economic status. It includes availability, affordability, accessibility and understandability of legal services. Low-income individuals and groups and marginalized segments of society particularly face the justice gap while accessing justice. Resolution of legal problems of low-income Americans with the assistance of a lawyer is only 8% of legal problems which indicates about the justice gap according to the Legal Services Corporation 2022. Approximately 5.1 billion people are facing inaccessibility to justice across the globe. Legal assistance having cost 200–400 dollars per hour is unaffordable for many people in the USA. Millions of individuals and groups are confronting barriers in accessing justice to resolve their legal rights and disputes (Legal Services Corporation of America, 2022).

These are the problems in access to justice, non-availability of legal experts and forums, high cost of legal assistance, inappropriate resources and services for people with disabilities, Legal forums and courts situated at far and remote areas and lack of legal knowledge about their rights. Automating legal processes and innovative solutions, AI driven applications and tools have enhanced the service and quality of justice. The individuals of the above said community can understand their rights, prepare the legal documents and understand the complicated legal procedures and documents by AI applications and tools. On the other side, provision of personalized legal assistance has curtailed the cost of legal services and it has become more accessible for low-income groups and individuals (Chien & Kim, 2024). Induction of AI in the justice system with human oversight expertise can contribute to more equitable and accessible legal systems for marginalized groups and individuals (Steenhuis, 2024).

There are some hurdles in accessing justice which are based on shame based, economic and cultural barriers. The ability of individuals to obtain fair legal redress are being hurdled by these barriers. Issues like hiring a lawyer, gathering information and traveling for legal services are financial hurdles which discourage low-income individuals in pursuant of their legal rights. Resultantly, the justice system becomes inaccessible for a large segment of society of low income. These economic barriers can be addressed by publicly funded AI legal advisors. In order to facilitate litigants to understand whether



Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

their claim is viable, AI powered chatbots are used in many countries. Members of marginalized groups are feared to enter the courtroom due to social stigma and fear of judgment in cases like domestic violence, fraud and disputes of inheritance. AI can help and access individuals privately and interact with them who are discouraged for legal rights by their cultural norms and values. AI systems can help legal processes not only in reducing barriers but it also fosters enforcement of laws and public trust in the justice system (Long and Palmer, 2024).

AI driven solutions like AI chatbots assistance, automation tools of documentations and state of the art expert AI systems can contribute significantly rather than just realizing on increasing the numbers of legal experts. The justice gap can be bridged among deprived and served peoples through the potential of AI innovative tools. Artificial Intelligence and Law blending relation is not merely technical but this interdisciplinary relationship of AI and Law is reshaping justice systems, equity and ethics. This synthesis draws an approach to understand AI technical capabilities along with legal principles of fairness, accountability and human rights. These advancements in technology cannot move forward without parallel progress in laws, regulations and ethics. These systems are generating creative art or text which requires continuous negotiations among IT experts, lawyers and jurists (Gaubienė, 2024).

Both the fields of law and AI need each other as Justice should be faster and accessible. Lawyers need Legal tech services and also the efficiency enhancer in their profession which AI is playing role in the justice systems (Geoffrey, 2024). Predictive analytics and risk assessment AI powered tools are illustrating some interdisciplinary concerns. Take the instance of Law-U, AI chatbot has been developed to guide survivor of sexual violence which has reduced legal information asymmetry by 40% in Thailand (Socatiyanurak et al., 2021). On the other facet, Recidivism application like in COMPAS, racial biasness has been identified in which computer science metrics clash with legal doctrine of just and fairness. Law discipline defines fairness based on principles of procedural equity while Machine learning AI systems work on statistical parity (Wang et al., 2024). It is demanded by jurists and civil society of marginalized segments that predictive algorithms should be co-designed with the opinions of sociologists (Alam & Ferdousi, 2024).

Disruption has also been observed in the matter of AI generated text or art and copyright laws when something is generated through human guided AI systems or from autonomous AI systems as sometimes it fails to consider the legal reasoning. Legal reasoning refers to the structured process in which Judges and Lawyers apply legal rules and principles to the specific legal issues or disputes which are under consideration in order to establish legal arguments. Statutes, legal principles, judicial precedents and public policies are essentials of legal reasoning while dealing with legal disputes (Levi, 2013). Application of balance approach, interpretation of ethical and societal values and variation of case-to-case situations are aspects of human wisdom in logical reasoning which make the legal reasoning complex and dynamic. Human reasoning aspects are replicated by AI reasoning models by adopting the techniques of supervised and unsupervised learning of machines or computers. Supervised learning AI reasoning model considers the past cases laws and predicts legal outcomes (Tu et al., 2024). This model trained on historical data, retrieved the case laws of similar facts and it has contributed the legal research significantly.

Unsupervised AI reasoning models analyze the under-depth patterns in legal documents and information and pinpoint the analogies and legal principles in similarities of facts (Mandall et al., 2021). CATO and HYPO are among the first models of AI reasoning



Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

which could compare the patterns of legal data in past cases and then predict outcomes after analysis. In this way, human legal reasoning and wisdom is replicated by AI models (Ashley, 1990). The futuristic role of AI to replace human judges and attorneys is uncertain and vague due to the importance of human judge reasoning abilities in dispute resolution. The technological advancement of AI can provide extra potential benefits, especially in extensive data volume cases which involve broad document review, hence AI is proved as a valuable asset in the legal systems (Hodge, 2024). It is argued by Sunstein (2001) that AI models of reasoning have not yet potential to replicate and replace human wisdom or reasoning features as it can just predict outcomes by analyzing the given data pattern of judgments and judicial interpretations but it cannot explain legal principles or advice independently. It is just assisting the legal systems rather than to replace human judges or lawyers.

Contemporary Jurisprudence and AI

AI systems are continuously evolving and integrating, and its interconnection with multiple dimensions of law fields. Law and Justice Systems rely on judicial precedent and human judgment, whereas AI systems depend on vast volumes of legal data in order to identify the patterns, and to generate insights with speed. Rapid adoption of AI in the justice systems has been observed in many developed countries with the purpose to improve the efficiency and productivity of judge's efficiency and judicial staff. Fundamental legal principles of fairness in justice, equality and human rights have been protected more effectively by utilization of AI in the judicial system (Bhatti, S. A, 2025). Efficiency and precision of legal operations have particularly been enhanced by AI systems. These tools have enabled it to analyze large volumes of legal information and it can quickly give relevant judicial precedents and key case laws (Emejuo et al., 2025). It has the ability to predict litigation outcomes and the legal experts to be more informed. AI powered predictive tools help the parties to assess the likelihood of success in litigations, assisting in out of courtroom settlements (Samuel & Benjamin, 2025). AI role in law in contemporary times is recognized by the scholars in a facilitation perspective and it cannot have the potential to replace judges and lawyers. The courtroom remains the space of logical reasoning applied by judges and lawyers.

Interdisciplinary Perspective of AI and Law

AI and Law blending relation is not merely technical but this interdisciplinary relationship of AI and Law is reshaping justice systems, equity and ethics. This synthesis draws an approach to understand AI technical capabilities along with legal principles of fairness, accountability and human rights. These advancements in technology cannot move forward without parallel progress in laws, regulations and ethics. These systems are generating creative art or text which requires continuous negotiations among IT experts, lawyers and jurists (Gaubiené, 2024). Both the fields of law and AI need each other as Justice should be faster and accessible and AI is serving the legal domain in fast and accessible justice among the general public. The futuristic role of AI to replace human judges and attorneys is uncertain due to the importance of human judge reasoning abilities in dispute resolution. The technological advancement of AI can provide extra potential benefits, especially in extensive data volume cases which involve broad document review, hence AI is proved as a valuable asset in the legal systems (Hodge, 2024). It is argued by Sunstein (2001) that AI models of reasoning have not yet potential to replicate and replace human wisdom or reasoning features as it can just predict outcomes by analyzing the given data pattern of judgments and judicial interpretations



Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

but it cannot explain legal principles or advice independently. It is just assisting the legal systems rather than to replace human judge or lawyers.

Conclusion

As we discussed above, there are many unprecedented opportunities that we witnessed while analyzing the intersection of AI and law disciplines. Judicial processes, legal research and administration of justice are being streamlined and enhanced by AI driven Systems. Legal assistance is becoming more affordable and accessible for the general public comparatively. AI has the potential to ensure fairness, due process and especially enforcement of rule of law which is the cornerstone of democratic societies with adherence to ethical standards.

The above foregoing discussion provides a broader review of AI and its emerging impact on the legal landscape. There are certain limitations and unanswered questions that represent significant research areas which warrant further deeper research and investigation studies. One of them is universal accepted definition of AI in legal context which still remains elusive. The lack of this legally binding definition of Artificial Intelligence creates ambiguity to regulate AI related regulations, in fixing ethical liability of AI applications in purview of international and cross-jurisdictional contexts. Research work should be conducted to propose harmonized definition of AI which must be accurate and actionable in consonance with technological & legal context. As far as the claim is concerned which describe that AI is enhancing administration of justice, efficiency and improving access to justice, there is scarcity of empirical evidences especially in the developing countries of the world which helps in measuring the quantitative and qualitative impact on justice systems and law field. These claims and best practices of integration of AI can only be validated after more rigorous and longitudinal studies and research.

Further, it is recommended to research in technical, ethical, and legal approaches so as to ensure AI systems must be aligned with legal principles of fairness and equity. Questions of accountability for the decisions are become more pressing when it generated from more autonomous AI systems. Analytical capabilities of artificial intelligence are not yet fully measured and understood so far by the researchers and scholars of both fields of IT and Law. Further studies should be made to explore how to integrate AI tools into justice systems and legal practice effectively without compromising accountability and discretion of judges. Development of robust legal and AI ethical guidelines are necessary to address concerns of liability, transparency, and understanding the AI generated decisions in legal contexts, how it reach to the specific judicial outcomes. These above-mentioned concerns are still in their nascent stages. Deep research is recommended for specific case studies in order to explain how AI can be customized to combat unique challenges faced by diverse marginalized groups during generic AI powered chatbot Legal assistance while addressing the economic and cultural barriers to justice. It is imperative to discuss and address the aforementioned concerns which are crucial essentials for responsible implementations of AI related laws & regulations as well as to ensure effective integration of AI in the legal system so that hi-tech progress of AI serves the cause of equal access to justice and in protecting human rights. Its Success can sustain interdisciplinary rigor when it safeguards the trust of the public with ethical use of innovative algorithms with responsibility and fairness.



Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

References

- Ashley, K. D. (1990). *Modelling legal argument: Reasoning with cases and hypotheticals*. MIT Press. <https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/tr/pdf/ADA250559.pdf>
- Bhatti, A. L., Shah, S. A., Bhatti, A. R., & Jamali, S. A. (2024). Preventing Miscarriage of Justice Using Artificial Intelligence in Pakistan. *Qlantic Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(3), 248-259. <https://doi.org/10.55737/qjss.921501516>
- Bhatti, S. A. (2025, January). AI in our justice system: A JUSTICE report. <https://justice.org.uk>
- Chien, C. V., & Kim, M. (2025). Generative AI and legal aid: Results from a field study and 100 use cases to bridge the access to justice gap. *Loyola of Los Angeles Law Review*, 57(4), 903-?. <https://digitalcommons.lmu.edu/llr/vol57/iss4/2>
- Department for Science, Innovation and Technology, Government Digital Service, Office for Artificial Intelligence, & Centre for Data Ethics and Innovation. (2024, March 13). Guide on artificial intelligence: With a focus on counter fraud (Version 9) [PDF]. <https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/65f82d2d78087a001159ebbb/2024-03-13-PSFA-Guide-on-Artificial-Intelligence-V9.pdf>
- Emejuo, C. C., Joseph, O. C., Odeyemi, E., & Igwe, A. O. (2025). The impact of artificial intelligence on legal practice: Enhancing legal research, contract analysis, and predictive justice. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 14(1), 603–611. <https://eprint.scholarsrepository.com/id/eprint/583/>
- Fayad, A. (2024). Development and future scope of AI in the workplace. *American International Journal of Business Management*, 7(2), 11–20.
- Long, B., & Palmer, A. (2024). AI and access to justice: How AI legal advisors can reduce economic and shame-based barriers to justice. *TATuP: Zeitschrift für Technikfolgenabschätzung in Theorie und Praxis*, 33(1), 21–27. <https://doi.org/10.14512/tatup.33.1.21>
- Mandal, A., et al. (2021). Unsupervised approaches for measuring textual similarity between legal court case reports. *AI & Law*, 29, 417.
- Min, Q., Sun, H., Wang, X., & Zhang, C. (2024). How do avatar characteristics affect applicants' interactional justice perceptions in artificial intelligence-based job interviews? *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 32(3), 442–450. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijsa.12472>
- Nouri, Z., Ben Salah, W., & Al Omrane, N. (2024). Artificial intelligence and administrative justice: An analysis of predictive justice in France. *Hasanuddin Law Review*, 10(2), 119–143. <https://doi.org/10.20956/halrev.v10i2.5541>
- Samuel D. Hodge Jr., Is the Use of Artificial Intelligence in Alternative Dispute Resolution a Viable Option or Wishful Thinking?, 24 *Pepp. Disp. Resol. L.J.* 91 (2024) Available at: <https://digitalcommons.pepperdine.edu/drlj/vol24/iss1/3>
- Silverman, E. (2023). AI and the administration of justice in the United States of America: Predictive policing and predictive justice. Max Planck Institute for the Study of Crime, Security, and Law <https://penal.org/sites/default/files/files/A-08-23.pdf>
- Steenhuis, Q. (2024, June 30). AI and tools for expanding access to justice In *The Cambridge Handbook of AI in Civil Dispute Resolution* (forthcoming) Cambridge University Press <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4876633>
- Stolper (2024) Towards Automated Decision-Making at Court: The Use of Artificial Intelligence for Drafting and Rendering Court Decision <https://www.journals.vu.lt/teise/article/download/35434/33743/92188>



Vol. 3 No. 11 (November) (2025)

Tu, S. S., Cyphert, A., & Perl, S. J. (2024) Artificial intelligence: Legal reasoning, legal research, and legal writing. *Minnesota Journal of Law, Science & Technology*, 25(2), 105–125.

World Travel & Tourism Council (2024) Introduction to artificial intelligence (AI) technology: Guide for travel & tourism leaders <https://researchhub.wttc.org/product/introduction-to-artificial-intelligence-ai-technology-guide-for-travel-tourism-leaders-2024>

Zahra, Yatama. (2025). Regulating AI in Legal Practice: Challenges and Opportunities. *Journal of Computer Science Application and Engineering (JOSAPEN)*3.10-15.10.70356/josapen.v3i1.47